

| Timestamp | First name | Last name | City | State | Zip Code | Are you representing yourself? | If no, what organization or whom do you represent? | Legislation | Position | Remarks | Attachments |
|-----------------------|------------|-----------|--------------|----------|----------|--------------------------------|--|---|----------|---|-------------|
| Bill No. 29-26 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2026-06-03 18:29:58 | Pastor | Arcand | Severna Park | Maryland | 21146 | No | Lighthouse Baptist Church | Bill No. 29-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Conditional Use Requirements – Licensed Dispensaries of Cannabis | Support | I am writing in support of Bill 29-26. My name is Pastor Paul Arcand, and I serve as the pastor of Lighthouse Baptist Church in Severna Park. While our church is located approximately 0.7 miles from a proposed cannabis dispensary location and would not be directly affected by the distance requirements addressed in this legislation, I strongly support Bill 29-26 because it establishes a clear, objective, and consistent method for measuring required separation distances. Regardless of one's position on cannabis businesses, property owners, churches, schools, applicants, county staff, and residents all benefit from regulations that are straightforward and easy to administer. Measuring from property line to property line provides certainty, reduces confusion, and helps avoid disputes over how distances should be calculated. I also empathize with the churches located within 1,000 feet of proposed cannabis facilities. Religious institutions invest significant time and resources serving their communities and should have confidence that zoning regulations will be applied consistently and as intended. Bill 29-26 does not create new separation requirements; rather, it clarifies how those requirements are measured. Clear rules promote fairness, transparency, and predictability for everyone involved. For these reasons, I respectfully encourage the County Council to approve Bill 29-26. Respectfully submitted, Pastor Paul Arcand Lighthouse Baptist Church Severna Park, Maryland | |
| 2026-06-03 23:21:14 | Keaston | Edwards | Hanover | MD | 21076 | Yes | | Bill No. 29-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Conditional Use Requirements – Licensed Dispensaries of Cannabis | Oppose | | |
| 2026-06-04 7:42:58 | Staci | Flanagan | Millersville | MD | 21108 | Yes | | Bill No. 29-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Conditional Use Requirements – Licensed Dispensaries of Cannabis | Support | Property lines should be used to measure the appropriate distance to space out placement of dispensaries to ensure adequate distance from other businesses, childcare, and religious institutions | |
| 2026-06-04 7:44:17 | Matthew | Flanagan | Millersville | MD | 21108 | Yes | | Bill No. 29-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Conditional Use Requirements – Licensed Dispensaries of Cannabis | Support | Property line measurements ensure accuracy and consistency. | |
| 2026-06-04 7:46:54 | Curtis | Kingsland | ODENTON | Maryland | 21113 | Yes | | Bill No. 29-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Conditional Use Requirements – Licensed Dispensaries of Cannabis | Oppose | I oppose this bill. Distance should be measure from property line to property line for these kind of businesses. Thank you | |
| 2026-06-04 7:49:16 | Laurie | Kingsland | ODENTON | Maryland | 21113 | Yes | | Bill No. 29-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Conditional Use Requirements – Licensed Dispensaries of Cannabis | Oppose | I oppose this bill. distancess should be from property line to property line. Thank you | |
| 2026-06-04 9:15:43 | Kyle | Pullen | Glen Burnie | MD | 21061 | Yes | | Bill No. 29-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Conditional Use Requirements – Licensed Dispensaries of Cannabis | Support | Measure property line to property line | |
| 2026-06-04 9:17:21 | Laurie | Pullen | Severn | MD | 21144 | Yes | | Bill No. 29-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Conditional Use Requirements – Licensed Dispensaries of Cannabis | Support | Measure property line to property line | |
| 2026-06-04 9:18:09 | Danny | Pullen | Severn | MD | 21144 | Yes | | Bill No. 29-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Conditional Use Requirements – Licensed Dispensaries of Cannabis | Support | Measure Property Line To Property Line | |
| 2026-06-04 9:18:44 | Abigail | Pullen | Glen Burnie | MD | 21061 | Yes | | Bill No. 29-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Conditional Use Requirements – Licensed Dispensaries of Cannabis | Support | Measure property line to property line | |
| 2026-06-04 9:20:30 | James | Copsey | Glen Burnie | MD | 21061 | Yes | | Bill No. 29-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Conditional Use Requirements – Licensed Dispensaries of Cannabis | Support | Measure property line to property line | |
| 2026-06-04 9:23:35 | Patricia | Copsey | Glen Burnie | MD | 21061 | Yes | | Bill No. 29-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Conditional Use Requirements – Licensed Dispensaries of Cannabis | Support | Measure property line to property line | |
| 2026-06-04 9:33:19 | Barbara | Morgan | Glen Burnie | MD | 21061 | Yes | | Bill No. 29-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Conditional Use Requirements – Licensed Dispensaries of Cannabis | Support | Measure property line to property line | |

| Timestamp | First name | Last name | City | State | Zip Code | Are you representing yourself? | If no, what organization or whom do you represent? | Legislation | Position | Remarks | Attachments |
|---------------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|----------|------------|--------------------------------|--|---|----------|--|-------------|
| 2026-06-04 12:29:46 | Jewl | Evans | Pasadena | MD | 21122 | Yes | | Bill No. 29-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Conditional Use Requirements – Licensed Dispensaries of Cannabis | Support | Using appropriate and consistent property lines is important for our county. | |
| 2026-06-04 17:06:18 | Paul | ARCAND | Pasadena | md | 21122-4755 | Yes | | Bill No. 29-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Conditional Use Requirements – Licensed Dispensaries of Cannabis | Support | Consistent property lines are important. | |
| 2026-06-04 19:16:05 | Amber | Arcand | Pasadena | Maryland | 21122 | Yes | | Bill No. 29-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Conditional Use Requirements – Licensed Dispensaries of Cannabis | Support | I support Bill 29-26. Regardless of where someone stands on cannabis businesses, we should all be able to agree that zoning regulations should be clear, consistent, and easy to apply. Measuring required distances from property line to property line provides a straightforward standard that reduces confusion and promotes fairness for everyone involved. Bill 29-26 is a common-sense clarification, and I respectfully encourage its approval. Amber Arcand Pasadena, MD | |
| 2026-06-04 19:28:20 | Paul J. | Arcand II | Pasadena | Maryland | 21122 | Yes | | Bill No. 29-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Conditional Use Requirements – Licensed Dispensaries of Cannabis | Support | I support Bill 29-26. As a 26-year resident of Anne Arundel County, I believe zoning regulations should be clear, objective, and consistently applied. Regardless of one's views on cannabis businesses, everyone benefits when the rules are easy to understand and administer. Measuring required separation distances from property line to property line provides a straightforward and predictable standard that eliminates confusion and reduces disputes over how distances are calculated. Property owners, businesses, churches, schools, residents, and county staff all deserve clear rules that can be applied fairly. Bill 29-26 is a common-sense clarification, and I respectfully encourage the County Council to approve it. Paul J. Arcand II Pasadena, Maryland | |
| 2026-06-05 11:32:28 | Shavonne | Bartley | Gwynn Oak | Md | 21207 | Yes | | Bill No. 29-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Conditional Use Requirements – Licensed Dispensaries of Cannabis | Support | | |
| 2026-06-05 11:46:19 | Andrew | Bartley | Woodlawn | MD | 21207 | Yes | | Bill No. 29-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Conditional Use Requirements – Licensed Dispensaries of Cannabis | Support | I support Bill 29-26 because I think it's important for zoning rules to be clear, consistent, and easy to understand. Businesses and property owners should know what is expected of them, and communities benefit when the rules are applied fairly. This bill seems like a reasonable way to provide that clarity and make the process work better for everyone. | |
| 2026-06-09 16:54:44 | Adriaunna | Edwards | Hanover | MS | 21076 | Yes | | Bill No. 29-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Conditional Use Requirements – Licensed Dispensaries of Cannabis | Support | I highly support this bill. | |
| 2026-06-09 18:00:27 | Katarzyna | Wright | Pasadena | MD | 21122 | Yes | | Bill No. 29-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Conditional Use Requirements – Licensed Dispensaries of Cannabis | Support | | |
| 2026-06-09 18:01:10 | Daisy | Wright | Pasadena | MD | 21122 | Yes | | Bill No. 29-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Conditional Use Requirements – Licensed Dispensaries of Cannabis | Support | I whole heartedly support this bill for our community. | |
| 2026-06-09 18:23:15 | Gabrielle | Thompson | Pasadena | Md | 21122 | Yes | | Bill No. 29-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Conditional Use Requirements – Licensed Dispensaries of Cannabis | Support | Clear boundaries | |
| 2026-06-09 18:24:16 | Pamela | Hawkins | Pasadena | Maryland | 21122 | Yes | | Bill No. 29-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Conditional Use Requirements – Licensed Dispensaries of Cannabis | Support | I'm fine with these places existing, but churches and children should not have to be exposed. It should be required that they are in remote areas, so that those that wish to go there, can, but everyone does not have to be exposed. | |
| 2026-06-09 18:28:33 | Emily | Sanger | Glen Burnie | Maryland | 21060 | Yes | | Bill No. 29-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Conditional Use Requirements – Licensed Dispensaries of Cannabis | Support | | |
| 2026-06-09 18:29:02 | Patricia | Stephens | Pasadena | Maryland | 21122 | Yes | | Bill No. 29-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Conditional Use Requirements – Licensed Dispensaries of Cannabis | Support | I'm an 81 year old woman, and I do not approve of these places at all. If you are going to have them, they should be hidden. | |
| 2026-06-09 18:46:45 | Christopher | Whitaker | Pasadena | Maryland | 21122 | Yes | | Bill No. 29-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Conditional Use Requirements – Licensed Dispensaries of Cannabis | Support | As a life long resident of Anne Arundel County I asking that you please support this legislation. | |

| Timestamp | First name | Last name | City | State | Zip Code | Are you representing yourself? | If no, what organization or whom do you represent? | Legislation | Position | Remarks | Attachments |
|---------------------|------------------|-----------|--------------|----------|------------|--------------------------------|--|---|----------|--|---|
| 2026-06-09 19:09:29 | Israel | Sanger | Glen Burnie | Maryland | 21060 | Yes | | Bill No. 29-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Conditional Use Requirements – Licensed Dispensaries of Cannabis | Support | | |
| 2026-06-09 20:34:44 | Christine | Janos | Pasadena | Maryland | 21122 | Yes | | Bill No. 29-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Conditional Use Requirements – Licensed Dispensaries of Cannabis | Support | | |
| 2026-06-09 20:38:16 | Christine | Janos | Pasadena | Maryland | 21122 | Yes | | Bill No. 29-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Conditional Use Requirements – Licensed Dispensaries of Cannabis | Support | | |
| 2026-06-09 21:13:29 | Wayne | Berwager | Crownsville | MD | 21032 | Yes | | Bill No. 29-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Conditional Use Requirements – Licensed Dispensaries of Cannabis | Oppose | | |
| 2026-06-09 21:26:00 | Kyun | Berwager | Crownsville | MD | 21032 | Yes | | Bill No. 29-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Conditional Use Requirements – Licensed Dispensaries of Cannabis | Oppose | Measure property lines to property lines. | |
| 2026-06-09 21:33:56 | Lois | Berwager | CROWNSVILLE | Maryland | 21032 | Yes | | Bill No. 29-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Conditional Use Requirements – Licensed Dispensaries of Cannabis | Support | | |
| 2026-06-09 23:08:04 | Larissa | Berwager | Crownsville | Maryland | 21032 | Yes | | Bill No. 29-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Conditional Use Requirements – Licensed Dispensaries of Cannabis | Oppose | Measure property line to property line. | |
| 2026-06-10 0:00:18 | Brent | Nulph | Annapolis | MD | 21401 | Yes | | Bill No. 29-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Conditional Use Requirements – Licensed Dispensaries of Cannabis | Support | | |
| 2026-06-10 0:00:44 | Alyssa | Nulph | Annapolis | Maryland | 21402 | Yes | | Bill No. 29-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Conditional Use Requirements – Licensed Dispensaries of Cannabis | Support | | |
| 2026-06-10 20:20:03 | Wayne | Nappari | Glen Burnie | Maryland | 21060-6912 | Yes | | Bill No. 29-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Conditional Use Requirements – Licensed Dispensaries of Cannabis | Support | | |
| 2026-06-10 21:52:15 | Joan | Seiler | Pasaden | MD | 21122 | Yes | | Bill No. 29-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Conditional Use Requirements – Licensed Dispensaries of Cannabis | Support | Measure property line to property line | |
| 2026-06-11 8:10:35 | Raymond | Hawkins | Pasadena | Maryland | 21123 | Yes | | Bill No. 29-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Conditional Use Requirements – Licensed Dispensaries of Cannabis | Support | It's important to keep dispensaries away from church's and children. They can be available for those that need it without being seen by all. | |
| 2026-06-14 20:46:06 | Peter | Anderson | Millersville | Maryland | 21108 | Yes | | Bill No. 29-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Conditional Use Requirements – Licensed Dispensaries of Cannabis | Support | As a resident and parent I support this bill. Cannabis dispensaries should not be located close to residential areas. Please vote in favor of this bill. | |
| 2026-06-14 23:22:21 | Senator Clarence | Lam | Annapolis | MD | 21401 | Yes | | Bill No. 29-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Conditional Use Requirements – Licensed Dispensaries of Cannabis | Oppose | | https://www.aacounty.org/system/files/webform/cc_legislative_testimony/96806/oppose-cb-29-26-061426_sen-lam.pdf |
| 2026-06-15 2:27:28 | Carol | Anzaldi | Pasadena | MD | 21122 | Yes | | Bill No. 29-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Conditional Use Requirements – Licensed Dispensaries of Cannabis | Support | A good law is fair, clear, and enforceable. Bill 29-26 corrects these deficiencies in the current law. | |
| 2026-06-15 7:53:47 | Paul | Anderson | Severna Park | MD | 21146 | Yes | | Bill No. 29-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Conditional Use Requirements – Licensed Dispensaries of Cannabis | Support | | |
| 2026-06-15 8:25:56 | Lauren | Anderson | Millersville | MD | 21108 | Yes | | Bill No. 29-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Conditional Use Requirements – Licensed Dispensaries of Cannabis | Support | | |

| Timestamp | First name | Last name | City | State | Zip Code | Are you representing yourself? | If no, what organization or whom do you represent? | Legislation | Position | Remarks | Attachments |
|---------------------|------------|-----------|----------|-------|----------|--------------------------------|--|---|----------|--|---|
| 2026-06-15 10:06:46 | John | Nupp | PASADENA | MD | 21122 | No | PASADENA UMC | Bill No. 29-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Conditional Use Requirements – Licensed Dispensaries of Cannabis | Support | <p>Honorable Council Representatives,</p> <p>I serve as the Pastor of Pasadena United Methodist Church, which has been situated at 61 Ritchie Highway since 1958. Our church has also served as the site for Pasadena Early Learning Center for the past 50 years. Our congregation and preschool families represent at least one dozen different zip codes in Anne Arundel County. Our weekly operations include youth and children’s activities, an active food pantry, a Narcotics Anonymous group, and an active Scouting America program. We serve a diverse group of individuals and families, with an intergenerational focus.</p> <p>My own family includes farmers and test pilots who have reinforced the importance of maintaining proper distances in everything from planting corn to flying fighter jets. My daily drive on Rt 100 also reminds me of the need to keep proper boundaries in place! From our gardens to our neighborhoods, from our highways to our skies, distance makes a difference for growth and safety.</p> <p>We want to continue to see our community thrive and grow in ways that support our schools and the places of worship that are dedicated to our common welfare. As we make room for new businesses to thrive, we need your support to ensure that all members of the neighborhood can live and work together.</p> <p>I write in support of Bill 29-26, co-sponsored by Councilman Nathan Volke and Councilwoman Amanda Fiedler. This bill would apply the state guidance for minimum distance requirements to schools, playgrounds and houses of worship such as ours. The lack of guidance on this issue has generated distrust between community members and business owners.</p> <p>Bill 29-26 eliminates confusion by providing clear guidance for all. By presenting a clear definition of the minimum distances, you can help ensure good relationships between neighbors and businesses with diverse needs and motivations.</p> <p>The map submitted with this testimony shows a more restrictive formula than the current version of the bill, by showing distances from the existing entryway of the former Maryland Monogram building to the neighboring lot lines. Since our preschool uses the playground that runs to the edge of our property, we must maintain our lot line as the basic measure of our ministry footprint. Using this existing entryway to lot line measurement, our playground sits at just 466 feet away from the existing entryway of the business at 91 Ritchie Highway. Our neighboring church in another district, Severna Park Evangelical Presbyterian Church, sits at only 266 feet from the entryway.</p> <p>By voting against this bill, the County Council will maintain its current stance of effectively eliminating all state distance requirements between sensitive locations and Cannabis dispensaries. If you vote to omit state guidelines for distance requirements in our jurisdiction, then I would respectfully request that any zoning requests for dispensaries less than 500 feet from a place of worship, school or playground be subject to “Special Exception” guidelines, rather than considering these sites under “Conditional Use” zoning rules. The community needs to have its voice heard in these deliberations. Thank you.</p> <p>Respectfully Submitted, Rev. John W. Nupp, Pastor</p> | https://www.aacounty.org/system/files/webform/cc_legislative_testimony/96833/1000radius-1-1.pdf |

| Timestamp | First name | Last name | City | State | Zip Code | Are you representing yourself? | If no, what organization or whom do you represent? | Legislation | Position | Remarks | Attachments |
|-----------------------|-------------|-----------|--------------|----------|----------|--------------------------------|--|---|----------|--|---|
| 2026-06-15 10:23:04 | Marie | Boyer | Pasadena | MD | 21122 | No | Pasadena Early Learning Center | Bill No. 29-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Conditional Use Requirements – Licensed Dispensaries of Cannabis | Support | My name is Marie Boyer and I am the Director of Pasadena Early Learning Center. We are preschool that serves 2-4 year old's and we have served families in our community for almost 50 years. Our playground is on the lot line that faces the entry of 91 Ritchie Hwy which is less than 500 feet. We need to have clear guidelines about how the distance is measured between child-care centers, schools, playgrounds and houses of worship and I feel that lot line to lot line is the best way to measure the distance and not entryway to entryway. Thank you! | |
| 2026-06-15 10:27:14 | allison | clarke | pasadena | MD | 21122 | Yes | | Bill No. 29-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Conditional Use Requirements – Licensed Dispensaries of Cannabis | Support | | |
| Bill No. 30-26 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2026-06-15 8:35:22 | Matt | Minahan | Edgewater | MD | 21037 | No | Growth Action Network | Bill No. 30-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Boards, Commissions, and Similar Bodies – Resilience Authority of Annapolis and Anne Arundel County – Membership – Director | Support | Support, but with amendment | https://www.aacounty.org/system/files/webform/cc_legislative_testimony/96824/gan-testimony-on-bill-30-26-resilience-authority-board-june-15-2026.docx |
| Bill No. 46-26 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2026-06-03 23:19:00 | Keaston | Edwards | Hanovet | MD | 21076 | Yes | | Bill No. 46-26: AN EMERGENCY ORDINANCE concerning: Current Expense Budget – Higher Education Fund – Anne Arundel Community College – Transfers of Funds | Support | | |
| 2026-06-09 19:03:57 | Christopher | Whitaker | Pasadena | Maryland | 21122 | Yes | | Bill No. 46-26: AN EMERGENCY ORDINANCE concerning: Current Expense Budget – Higher Education Fund – Anne Arundel Community College – Transfers of Funds | Support | As a life long Anne Arundel County resident I am asking that you please support this legislation. | |
| 2026-06-09 20:45:32 | Christine | Janos | Pasadena | Maryland | 21122 | Yes | | Bill No. 46-26: AN EMERGENCY ORDINANCE concerning: Current Expense Budget – Higher Education Fund – Anne Arundel Community College – Transfers of Funds | Support | | |
| Bill No. 49-26 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2026-06-03 16:59:12 | Pastor | Arcand | Severna Park | MARYLAND | 21146 | No | Lighthouse Baptist Church | Bill No. 49-26: AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Parking, Outdoor Lighting, and Signage – Signs | Support | Support for Bill 49-26 As Pastor of Lighthouse Baptist Church in Severna Park, I respectfully support Bill 49-26. Organizations located along major roadways such as Ritchie Highway face unique visibility challenges. Churches, schools, nonprofits, and businesses all depend on effective signage to communicate with the public and help people locate their facilities safely and easily. Lighthouse Baptist Church has served Anne Arundel County for 25 years, providing spiritual guidance, youth programs, community outreach, and assistance to families in need. Improved signage standards that recognize the realities of major transportation corridors will help organizations like ours better connect with the community we serve. Bill 49-26 is a reasonable and practical measure that acknowledges the increased setbacks, traffic speeds, and visibility requirements associated with major arterial roads. It provides greater flexibility while maintaining appropriate standards and oversight. I appreciate the Council's consideration of this legislation and respectfully encourage its approval. Pastor Arcand Lighthouse Baptist Church Severna Park, Maryland | |
| 2026-06-03 23:23:16 | Keaston | Edwards | Hanover | MD | 21076 | No | Lighthouse Baptist Church | Bill No. 49-26: AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Parking, Outdoor Lighting, and Signage – Signs | Support | | |
| 2026-06-04 7:37:05 | Staci | Flanagan | Millersville | MD | 21108 | Yes | | Bill No. 49-26: AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Parking, Outdoor Lighting, and Signage – Signs | Support | | |
| 2026-06-04 7:38:03 | Matthew | Flanagan | Millersville | MD | 21108 | Yes | | Bill No. 49-26: AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Parking, Outdoor Lighting, and Signage – Signs | Support | | |

| Timestamp | First name | Last name | City | State | Zip Code | Are you representing yourself? | If no, what organization or whom do you represent? | Legislation | Position | Remarks | Attachments |
|---------------------|------------|-----------|--------------|----------|------------|--------------------------------|--|--|----------|---|-------------|
| 2026-06-04 7:42:52 | Curtis | Kingsland | ODENTON | Maryland | 21113 | Yes | | Bill No. 49-26: AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Parking, Outdoor Lighting, and Signage – Signs | Support | As a resident of AA County, I'm in favor for passage of this bill. Please pass this bill. Thank you C. Kingsland | |
| 2026-06-04 7:44:42 | Laurie | Kingsland | ODENTON | Maryland | 21113 | Yes | | Bill No. 49-26: AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Parking, Outdoor Lighting, and Signage – Signs | Support | I support this bill. I request that the bill be passed Thank you | |
| 2026-06-04 9:34:05 | Kyle | Pullen | Glen Burnie | MD | 21061 | Yes | | Bill No. 49-26: AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Parking, Outdoor Lighting, and Signage – Signs | Support | | |
| 2026-06-04 9:34:45 | Abigail | Pullen | Glen Burnie | MD | 21061 | Yes | | Bill No. 49-26: AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Parking, Outdoor Lighting, and Signage – Signs | Support | | |
| 2026-06-04 9:35:22 | Danny | Pullen | Severn | MD | 21144 | Yes | | Bill No. 49-26: AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Parking, Outdoor Lighting, and Signage – Signs | Support | | |
| 2026-06-04 9:36:03 | Laurie | Pullen | Severn | MD | 21144 | Yes | | Bill No. 49-26: AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Parking, Outdoor Lighting, and Signage – Signs | Support | | |
| 2026-06-04 9:36:50 | James | Copsey | Glen Burnie | MD | 21061 | Yes | | Bill No. 49-26: AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Parking, Outdoor Lighting, and Signage – Signs | Support | | |
| 2026-06-04 9:37:44 | Patricia | Copsey | Glen Burnie | MD | 21061 | Yes | | Bill No. 49-26: AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Parking, Outdoor Lighting, and Signage – Signs | Support | | |
| 2026-06-04 9:38:52 | Barbara | Morgan | Glen Burnie | MD | 21061 | Yes | | Bill No. 49-26: AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Parking, Outdoor Lighting, and Signage – Signs | Support | | |
| 2026-06-04 12:28:37 | Jewl | Evans | Pasadena | MD | 21122 | Yes | | Bill No. 49-26: AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Parking, Outdoor Lighting, and Signage – Signs | Support | | |
| 2026-06-04 17:12:04 | Paul | ARCAND | Pasadena | md | 21122-4755 | Yes | | Bill No. 49-26: AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Parking, Outdoor Lighting, and Signage – Signs | Support | Being able to see and read signs from the roadway is important. | |
| 2026-06-04 19:10:48 | Amber | Arcand | Pasadena | Maryland | 21122 | Yes | | Bill No. 49-26: AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Parking, Outdoor Lighting, and Signage – Signs | Support | This is a very simple and narrow in scope helpful bill. Please support. | |
| 2026-06-04 19:22:37 | Paul J. | Arcand II | Pasadena | Maryland | 21122 | Yes | | Bill No. 49-26: AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Parking, Outdoor Lighting, and Signage – Signs | Support | I support Bill 49-26. As a resident of Anne Arundel County, I believe this bill recognizes the unique visibility challenges faced by properties located along major roadways such as Ritchie Highway. Increased traffic speeds, setbacks, and roadway design often make existing signage standards inadequate for businesses, churches, and community organizations trying to be seen by the public. Bill 49-26 provides a reasonable and practical adjustment that will help improve visibility while maintaining appropriate oversight and standards. I respectfully encourage the County Council to approve this legislation. Paul J. Arcand II Pasadena, Maryland | |
| 2026-06-05 11:33:48 | Shavonne | Bartley | Gwynn Oak | Md | 21207 | Yes | | Bill No. 49-26: AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Parking, Outdoor Lighting, and Signage – Signs | Support | | |
| 2026-06-05 11:42:40 | Andrew | Bartley | Woodlawn | MD | 21207 | Yes | | Bill No. 49-26: AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Parking, Outdoor Lighting, and Signage – Signs | Support | I support Bill 49-26 because better signage makes it easier for people who are unfamiliar with the area to find local businesses. Visitors, customers, and people passing through often rely on signs to know what businesses are nearby and where they're located. Something as simple as a more visible sign can help bring in customers and support local businesses. This seems like a practical, common-sense change that can benefit both businesses and the people they serve. | |
| 2026-06-09 16:52:20 | Adriaunna | Edwards | Hanover | MD | 21076 | Yes | | Bill No. 49-26: AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Parking, Outdoor Lighting, and Signage – Signs | Support | I highly support this bill | |
| 2026-06-09 17:59:18 | Katarzyna | Wright | Pasadena | MD | 21122 | Yes | | Bill No. 49-26: AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Parking, Outdoor Lighting, and Signage – Signs | Support | | |
| 2026-06-09 18:00:06 | Daisy | Wright | Pasadena | MD | 21122 | Yes | | Bill No. 49-26: AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Parking, Outdoor Lighting, and Signage – Signs | Support | I whole heartedly support this bill for our community. | |
| 2026-06-09 18:16:31 | Ronald | Anderson | Millersville | Md | 21108 | Yes | | Bill No. 49-26: AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Parking, Outdoor Lighting, and Signage – Signs | Support | | |
| 2026-06-09 18:20:49 | Gabrielle | Thompson | Pasadena | Md | 21122 | Yes | | Bill No. 49-26: AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Parking, Outdoor Lighting, and Signage – Signs | Support | | |
| 2026-06-09 18:26:22 | Pamela | Hawkins | Pasadena | Maryland | 21122 | Yes | | Bill No. 49-26: AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Parking, Outdoor Lighting, and Signage – Signs | Support | Equal for all. Property line to property line. Fair is Fair | |
| 2026-06-09 18:30:16 | Emily | Sanger | Glen Burnie | Maryland | 21060 | Yes | | Bill No. 49-26: AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Parking, Outdoor Lighting, and Signage – Signs | Support | | |

| Timestamp | First name | Last name | City | State | Zip Code | Are you representing yourself? | If no, what organization or whom do you represent? | Legislation | Position | Remarks | Attachments |
|--|------------|-------------|-------------|----------|------------|--------------------------------|--|--|-------------|--|---|
| 2026-06-09 18:30:46 | Patricia | Stephens | Pasadena | Maryland | 21122 | Yes | | Bill No. 49-26: AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Parking, Outdoor Lighting, and Signage – Signs | Support | Fair for all. Property line to property line, please! | |
| 2026-06-09 19:11:00 | Israel | Sanger | Glen Burnie | Maryland | 21060 | Yes | | Bill No. 49-26: AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Parking, Outdoor Lighting, and Signage – Signs | Support | | |
| 2026-06-09 21:04:31 | Wayne | Berwager | Crownsville | Md | 21032 | Yes | | Bill No. 49-26: AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Parking, Outdoor Lighting, and Signage – Signs | Support | I support this bill and believe it will enhance surrounding properties with professional signage. | |
| 2026-06-09 21:27:52 | Kyun | Berwager | Crownsville | MD | 21032 | Yes | | Bill No. 49-26: AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Parking, Outdoor Lighting, and Signage – Signs | Support | | |
| 2026-06-09 21:31:55 | Lois | Berwager | CROWNSVILLE | Maryland | 21032 | Yes | | Bill No. 49-26: AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Parking, Outdoor Lighting, and Signage – Signs | Support | | |
| 2026-06-09 23:06:23 | Larissa | Berwager | Crownsville | Maryland | 21032 | Yes | | Bill No. 49-26: AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Parking, Outdoor Lighting, and Signage – Signs | Support | | |
| 2026-06-09 23:58:25 | Brent | Nulph | Annapolis | MD | 21401 | Yes | | Bill No. 49-26: AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Parking, Outdoor Lighting, and Signage – Signs | Support | We definitely need this. | |
| 2026-06-09 23:58:54 | Alyssa | Nulph | Annapolis | Maryland | 21401 | Yes | | Bill No. 49-26: AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Parking, Outdoor Lighting, and Signage – Signs | Support | | |
| 2026-06-10 10:11:57 | Robin | Sirkel | Pasadena | Md | 2122 | Yes | | Bill No. 49-26: AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Parking, Outdoor Lighting, and Signage – Signs | Support | | |
| 2026-06-10 10:35:42 | Ruth | Kiser | Pasadena | MD | 21122 | Yes | | Bill No. 49-26: AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Parking, Outdoor Lighting, and Signage – Signs | Support | | |
| 2026-06-10 20:20:45 | Wayne | Nappari | Glen Burnie | MD | 21060-6912 | Yes | | Bill No. 49-26: AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Parking, Outdoor Lighting, and Signage – Signs | Support | | |
| 2026-06-10 21:53:13 | Joan | Seiler | Pasadena | MD | 21122 | Yes | | Bill No. 49-26: AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Parking, Outdoor Lighting, and Signage – Signs | Support | | |
| 2026-06-11 8:12:43 | Raymond | Hawkins | Pasadena | Maryland | 21122 | Yes | | Bill No. 49-26: AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Parking, Outdoor Lighting, and Signage – Signs | Support | Please pass this bill. It should be the same for all. | |
| 2026-06-14 16:35:09 | Jo-Ann | Shields | Pasadena | MD | 21122 | Yes | | Bill No. 49-26: AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Parking, Outdoor Lighting, and Signage – Signs | Support | | |
| 2026-06-14 16:37:03 | Randy | Shields | Pasadena | MD | 21122 | Yes | | Bill No. 49-26: AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Parking, Outdoor Lighting, and Signage – Signs | Support | | |
| Prior Testimony from June 1 Meeting | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2026-06-06 11:25:29 | Eric | Bernholz | Odenton | MD | 21113 | Yes | | Prior testimony from the 6/1/26 council meeting | Oppose | I oppose the passing of Bill 18-26 IF it contains Amendment 12, covering Parcel O of Two Rivers. I recommend that the County Council NOT overturn any veto of Amendment 12 by the County Executive. See my attached correspondence. | https://www.aacounty.org/system/files/webform/cc_legislative_testimony/95951/letter-to-county-council-on-bill-18-26_ver-1.docx |
| 2026-06-11 21:56:50 | Leo | Plourde | Crofton | MD | 21114 | Yes | | Prior testimony from the 6/1/26 council meeting | Oppose | Bill 18-26 as amended cannot be passed. Congestion in the region is horrible. To increase development in the route three corridor is unconscionable. Congestion and accidents on this corridor are increasing due to the over capacity of its design. Do not override the veto. | |
| 2026-06-12 11:37:36 | Alice | Szczepaniak | Gambrills | Md | 21054 | Yes | | Prior testimony from the 6/1/26 council meeting | No position | I am writing to encourage county council to vote to maintain the county executive's veto of Bill 18-26 Amendment #12 regarding parcel OR. Parcel OR should be designated Open Space (OS). I served on the Land Planning SAC and the resident testimony that we received is very clearly against additional development in Two Rivers. We discussed Two Rivers at length during our SAC meetings and understand that they have reached their current limit regarding the amount they can develop as per their PUD, therefore zoning parcel OR as Open Space is simply aligning that zone with previous agreements made between the developer and the county and its residents, and protects this land from future development through misinterpretations of current agreements or special interests groups overriding established agreements. To zone this land as anything other than Open Space would be placing developer interests above residents and current establishment agreements. Thank you. | |

| Timestamp | First name | Last name | City | State | Zip Code | Are you representing yourself? | If no, what organization or whom do you represent? | Legislation | Position | Remarks | Attachments |
|---------------------|------------|-----------|---------|----------|----------|--------------------------------|--|---|----------|--|---|
| 2026-06-14 20:06:09 | Jan | Randall | Odenton | Maryland | 21113 | Yes | | Prior testimony from the 6/1/26 council meeting | Support | This attached file is in support of Executive Pittman's veto on parcel O | https://www.aacounty.org/system/files/webform/cc_legislative_testimony/96796/june-15-county-council-submission.docx |

| Timestamp | First name | Last name | City | State | Zip Code | Are you representing yourself? | If no, what organization or whom do you represent? | Legislation | Position | Remarks | Attachments |
|---------------------|------------|-----------|---------|-------|------------|--------------------------------|--|---|-------------|--|---|
| 2026-06-14 20:31:19 | Susan | Schnur | Odenton | MD | 21113-6063 | Yes | | Prior testimony from the 6/1/26 council meeting | No position | <p>Re Line-Item Vetoes re bill 18-26</p> <p>I am aware of the line-item vetoes re Bill 18-26 and I am appealing to you and your colleagues for your support of these line item vetoes, particularly the line-item veto concerning Parcel O in Two Rivers.</p> <p>I was in the audience when the council voted 5-1 to approve the developer's amendment to zone Parcel O as R2/OS. The rationale from those 5 Council members who voted in favor of the developer's amendment was that you were leery of voting to 'deprive a developer from developing his land'. That said, the zoning questions re Parcel O go beyond this simple conclusion. I hope you will take the time to read the attached AHO's Special Exception decisions for the Two Rivers PUD in 2006 and 2013. The accepted conditions for the Two Rivers PUD clearly state that the developer agreed to not develop Parcel O AND, the developer requested and agreed a total of 2060 homesites in 2006 and 2013, which in consideration for not developing Parcel O, the 2060 homesites met the R2 zoning for the PUD.</p> <p>The County Executive's amendment to downzone Parcel O to all OS, simply supports the criteria outlined in the 2006 and 2013 Special Exceptions granted for the TR PUD. The developer chose to 'trade density' and cluster homes in higher density on many parcels within this PUD and then allow for clustered open space, including Parcel O.</p> <p>The 2000+ homeowners in TR, each were required at their Settlement to agree to be bound by a legal document, the FOTPIA Covenant, which was made part of our governing documents and therefore 'runs with the land'. We as residents in TR had every expectation that Parcel O would remain undeveloped AND that TR would have a maximum of 2060 homesites, as outlined in this legally filed document.</p> <p>Now I am aware that the Council you shouldn't get involved in this issue yet the zoning question has been brought to you for a vote and your vote should consider all relevant facts.</p> <p>And if you want to ignore the 2006 and 2013 Special Exceptions, then I'd like to respectfully remind you of what's at stake for area residents regarding safety. It is very apparent to anyone who has to travel on rte 3, Conway Rd and other area roads that there wasn't enough oversight and planning re appropriate infrastructure and safety needs when the TR PUD was approved. You've heard and read testimony from 150 area residents describing, traffic concerns, accidents and fatalities attributed to hampered EMS response. I've heard council members' concerns about being sued by the developer- have you considered being sued by grieving families when a loved-one dies due to slow EMS response or a traffic injury or fatality? Your constituents are relying on the Council members to protect our safety and well-being.</p> <p>Pls think about and consider the health and safety of area residents and vote to support the County Executive's vetoes! This vote doesn't deprive the developer of developing Parcel O, the developer has already freely volunteered to not develop Parcel O as a condition to having the TR PUD approved. Now he apparently has changed his mind which if allowed to develop Parcel O, would increase density beyond the approved R2 zoning for the PUD.</p> <p>And lastly, think about responsible growth in AAC. Allowing Parcel O to be zoned for future development with already stressed infrastructure and unsafe traffic, this isn't responsible growth, IMHO. And ignoring the safety of the Two Rivers area residents as a trade-off for developers possibly offering attractive terms for affordable housing in other areas, that's not responsible growth either.</p> <p>Thank you again for your consideration and please carefully review these attached PUD Documents (below) which describe in detail, the approved conditions for Parcel O as part of the approvals for the TR PUD.</p> <p>Sincerely, Susan Schnur</p> | https://www.aacounty.org/system/files/webform/cc_legislative_testimony/96798/tr-pud-2013.pdf |