

Timestamp	First name	Last name	City	State	Zip Code	Are you representing yourself?	If no, what organization or whom do you represent?	Legislation	Position	Remarks	Attachments
<b>Bill No. 17-26</b>											
2026-04-30 14:18:15	Laura	murray	odenton	MD	21113	Yes		Bill No. 17-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: General Development Plan – Region 5 Plan	Oppose	<p>Good evening. My name is Laura Murray. I am here to formally notify the County that I am preparing an application concerning St. John's AME Zion Baptist Church and its potential significance as an Underground Railroad site and an African American historic resource. That application cannot be submitted until July, so I am placing this issue on the record now—before any irreversible decisions are made. Anne Arundel County's Code is clear. Under Anne Arundel County Code Article 17, developers are required to identify historic resources during development review. And where there is known or high potential for archaeological resources, a Phase I survey is required.</p> <p>I want to be precise: the church is approximately 2.5 miles from this proposed development. This is not about proximity—it is about impact.</p> <p>Both the proposed 377 homes and access to the church rely on the same primary road. That road is already overburdened. Adding this level of development will significantly increase congestion and directly affect access to an area that may soon be recognized for its historic significance.</p> <p>Access is not a minor issue. For a historic resource—especially one tied to the Underground Railroad—access routes and surrounding corridors are part of the cultural landscape.</p> <p>So my request is straightforward: Do not approve first and study later. Please defer approval, or place binding conditions on any approval, until the Office of Planning and Zoning and Cultural Resources determine whether this area has historic or archaeological potential. That must include any required Phase I archaeological survey, along with a traffic impact study and an emergency access review.</p> <p>This request does not stop development. It ensures the County follows its own Code, protects the public record, and avoids irreversible harm.</p> <p>For the record, I have copies of the relevant County Code provisions available for any members of the press or the public. Thank you.</p>	
2026-05-01 19:25:18	Paul	Brachfeld	Odenton	Md	21113	Yes		Bill No. 17-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: General Development Plan – Region 5 Plan	Oppose	<p>I can see no benefit to anyone except the developer to further overtaxing our congested roads and straining our finite resources. There can be no better act by the country than respecting the wishes of the vast majority of its constituents that say enough is enough. There can be developers are like ravenous souls at a buffet who don't know when to stop but you can stand up and tell them that it is time to end this endless quest for more at the expense of the area residents</p>	
<b>Bill No. 18-26</b>											
2026-04-21 21:15:58	Linda	Brobst	Odenton	MD	21113	Yes		Bill No. 18-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 5	Oppose	<p>No more building in Two rivers, Crofton, Odenton area. I bought in two rivers when the community was first developed (55.+ community). Many promises were made that were not kept. This land should not be used for new homes, the infrastructure can not handle it. This land should remain open to parks only.</p>	
2026-05-01 18:35:30	Ken	Wiswall	Odenton	Md	21113	Yes		Bill No. 18-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 5	Support	<p>I support passage of Bill 18-26, specifically Provision CZ-R5-TRS-005A/B that rezones a parcel in Two Rivers from R2/OS to OS.</p> <p>I feel that wooded open space should always be preserved as much as possible and that Parcel O should remain as open space as depicted in the site plan homebuyers were shown when they purchased their homes.</p>	

Timestamp	First name	Last name	City	State	Zip Code	Are you representing yourself?	If no, what organization or whom do you represent?	Legislation	Position	Remarks	Attachments
2026-05-01 19:03:06	Toby	Gurman	Odenton	Maryland	21113	Yes		Bill No. 18-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 5	Support	I support passage of Bill 18-26, specifically Provision CZ-R5-TRS-005A/B that rezones a parcel in Two Rivers from R2/OS to OS  For years I have watched how congestion and traffic from overbuilding have hampered our everyday lives . Our local roads were not built to handle all the cars and trucks we now face. As a prospective homeowner my husband and I were promised open spaces, nature trails , and country life. Instead, over the last 10 years, our trees have been cut down, our trails reduced and our traffic increased, making our roads a hazard.	
2026-05-01 19:15:22	Trisha	Potter	Odenton	MD	21113	Yes		Bill No. 18-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 5	Support	I support passage of Bill 18-26, specifically Provision CZ-R5-TRS-005A/B that rezones a parcel in Two Rivers from R2/OS to OS. Open space is desperately needed in the area and the roads and infrastructure do not support another residential neighborhood being built on that parcel! We were told it would be open space when we bought here and it's unfair to allow greedy developers to build on it.	
2026-05-01 19:40:37	Torrin	King	Odenton	MD	21113-6034	Yes		Bill No. 18-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 5	Support	I strongly support the passage of Bill 18-26, specifically Provision CZ-R5-TRS-005A/B.  Our community is already struggling with an infrastructure deficit; the current traffic design of Two Rivers has not kept pace with development, leading to significant gridlock. Two summers ago, residents faced severe access issues that raised serious concerns about emergency vehicle response times and general safety. Rezoning this parcel to Open Space (OS) is a necessary step to prevent further congestion and protect the integrity of a neighborhood that simply cannot handle additional high-density traffic.	
2026-05-01 21:06:56	Ashley	Hume	Odenton	MD	21113	Yes		Bill No. 18-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 5	Support	I support passage of Bill 18-26, specifically Provision CZ-R5-TRS-005A/B that rezones a parcel of land in Two Rivers from R2/OS to OS. There is only one road exit from this neighborhood and it is already dangerous if something were to happen requiring evacuation with the number of people living in Two Rivers. An additional section of housing would make this matter worse and add to the everyday overcrowding of the surrounding roadways.	
2026-05-02 10:37:45	Rebecca	Fitzmorris	Odenton	Md	21113	Yes		Bill No. 18-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 5	Support	I suppose rezoning bill 18-26 because of the developers promise to buyers in the 2 rivers community to keep this as open space. In addition the "road" in and out of the community is already inadequate for the current traffic.	
2026-05-02 22:21:30	Tracy	Starr	Odenton	MD	21113	Yes		Bill No. 18-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 5	Support	I support passage of Bill 18-26, specifically Provision CZ-R5-TRS-005A/B that rezones a parcel in Two Rivers from R2/OS to OS.  Open space is of utmost importance to the protection of the environment, specifically the patuxent river and Chesapeake bay watershed. Runoff from development in Two Rivers has caused significant harm to the river as it is and has created congestion, thus increasing the amount of pollution in the area via land air and water. It is unacceptable to put in more development without proper planning and engineering that is responsible to the effects on the environment, the surrounding neighborhoods, and the existing infrastructure. Right now the developer of two rivers has done nothing to ensure that any of these issues are addressed and is instead singularly invested in lining their pockets with millions of dollars at the expense of the residents and environment. Please keep this space open and free from further destruction and allow our neighborhood to rest and be free from overdevelopment and overuse.	

Timestamp	First name	Last name	City	State	Zip Code	Are you representing yourself?	If no, what organization or whom do you represent?	Legislation	Position	Remarks	Attachments
2026-05-03 7:28:19	Melissa	Edmondson	Odenton	MD	21113	Yes		Bill No. 18-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 5	Support	I support the passage of Bill 18-26, specifically provision CZ-R5-TRS-005A/B that rezones a parcel in Two Rivers from R2/OS to OS. This is a matter of overcrowding and safety. It is already extremely congested in the Two Rivers neighborhood with one way in an out — adding more homes adds to the congestion and makes the safety issues worse. Emergency vehicles cannot make it into the area quickly with the type of traffic we have. This is a concern especially since our neighborhood has an older population who might be more likely to need quick help in the case of an acute event. Also, the neighborhood and the school are both overcrowded from the new development. More homes and more people in this area only create more problems.	
2026-05-03 7:37:28	Justin	Edmondson	Odenton	Md	21113	Yes		Bill No. 18-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 5	Support	I support the passage of Bill 18-26, specifically provision CZ-R5-TRS-005A/B that rezones a parcel in Two Rivers from R2/OS to OS  Our current infrastructure is simply not adequate to support additional homes at this moment and it's a shame if our government would support the addition of homes over the safety of its residents.  In order to support more development the infrastructure needs to be taken more seriously. Conway and Meyers Station need upgrades that reduce the congestion. Just last week there was an accident on the bridge of Conway that completely blocked traffic for 30 minutes.  A road connecting Meyers Station/Grays Ford to Route 3 should be seriously considered. Thank you to those who are taken the concerns seriously.	
2026-05-03 11:55:38	Robert	Mignon	Odenton	MD	21113	Yes		Bill No. 18-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 5	Oppose	Want zoning to remain r2 in hopes of getting road improvements to allow better egress and ingress.	
2026-05-03 15:58:14	Catherine	Rappole	Crofton	MD	21114-1810	Yes		Bill No. 18-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 5	Oppose	What is the rationale for Amendment No. 2, changing Parcel 61 on Tax Map 43, Tax Account Number 02-000-03736510, from C1-Local Commercial to C4-Highway Commercial? Are the businesses in C1 parcels next to Parcel 61 flourishing? How many jobs is a C4 zone expected to provide and in which sector of the labor market? Do we need more "automobile, truck, recreational vehicle and boat sales, rental and major repair facilities" in this area? Surely Rt 3 has enough of those businesses to meet and/or exceed current and future demand. Also, Davidsonville Rd and Defense Highway can't handle additional traffic, and changing Parcel 61 to C4 suggests additional traffic is to be expected. Has a study been completed on the environmental impacts of changing Parcel 61 to C4? In my humble opinion, changing Parcel 61 from C1 to C4 will unnecessarily increase traffic and that would outweigh any potential benefit to the surrounding community. Thank you.	
2026-05-03 22:34:56	Ed	Riehl	Odenton	MD	21113	Yes		Bill No. 18-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 5	Support	During the April 6th meeting, I indicated my support of Bill #18-26, specifically with respect to the proposed downzoning of Parcels "O" & "N" in Two Rivers. On April 27th, I received a "cease & desist" letter from a law firm representing the Two Rivers Developers. The letter alleges that my testimony was "tortious conduct". I am in the process of seeking legal counsel to prepare a response to the Developers' law firm. In the meantime, I think it is best if the Council Members disregard my previous testimony at the April 6th meeting.	
2026-05-04 6:47:14	Steven	Onken	Odenton	MD	21113	Yes		Bill No. 18-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 5	Support	I support passage of Bill 18-26, specifically Provision CZ-R5-TRS-005A/B that rezones a parcel in Two Rivers from R2/OS to OS. The development is already overbuilt relative to its infrastructure and retaining open space is vital for the environment, the native animal population and quality of life.	
<b>Bill No. 19-26</b>											
2026-05-04 11:04:09	Christina	Peusch	Annapolis	MD	21403	No	Maryland State C	Bill No. 19-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Finance, Taxation, and Budget – Real Property Taxes – Credits – Day Care Centers for Children and Adults	Support		<a href="https://www.aacounty.org/system/files/webform/cc_legislative_testimony/92598/bill19-26-public-testimony-from-mscca.doc">https://www.aacounty.org/system/files/webform/cc_legislative_testimony/92598/bill19-26-public-testimony-from-mscca.doc</a>

Timestamp	First name	Last name	City	State	Zip Code	Are you representing yourself?	If no, what organization or whom do you represent?	Legislation	Position	Remarks	Attachments
<b>Bill No. 29-26</b>											
2026-04-29 15:50:33	Carol	Anzaldi	Pasadena	MD	21122	Yes		Bill No. 29-26: AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Conditional Use Requirements – Licensed Dispensaries of Cannabis	Support	<p>We are members of the community The Dales which is behind 91 Ritchie Hwy in Pasadena, MD. Our family has lived here for 28 years. It has come to our attention that the new owner of 91 Ritchie Hwy will be seeking a permit to open a cannabis dispensary.</p> <p>The location directly borders our neighbor's property at the end of our cul-de-sac. While we are close to Ritchie Hwy, we have never felt close to the traffic and activity there. We fear a business such as a cannabis dispensary will change that. It simply does not fit the landscape of existing churches, schools, and private residences.</p> <p>According to an article in the Baltimore Sun (Sunday, April 5, 2026, by Tanisha Bhat), the new owners have hired a lobbyist, Eddie Pounds. Mr. Pounds claims that the easement is "just over 500 feet" from two churches and a school. "Just over" the legal minimum requirement should be a red flag, particularly when compared to the (negative) impact on the surrounding community. Liquor stores have easement requirements twice this distance. This illustrates the power of the cannabis lobby. This is concerning and telling. We are fearful that our community's voices will be drowned out by the lobby's deep pockets.</p> <p>In addition, when East-West Blvd was debated, we learned the intersection of Ritchie Hwy and Pasadena Rd. rates an 'F' for safety. According to the Baltimore Sun, marijuana use on Maryland roads DOUBLED since adult-use legalization, with 'driving while high' increasing from 18% to 39% between 2023 and 2025. There are already frequent accidents there. Any volume of cars attempting to enter northbound Ritchie Hwy at this block, particularly if the driver is impaired, is only going to add to an already dangerous situation.</p> <p>Thank you for taking the time to listen to our concerns and those of our neighbors. We value our community! A dispensary does not belong here.</p>	
2026-05-02 6:53:18	Brandon	Davis	Hyattsville	MD	20782	No	Vital Dispensary	Bill No. 29-26: AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Conditional Use Requirements – Licensed Dispensaries of Cannabis	Oppose		<a href="https://www.aacounty.org/system/files/webform/cc_legislative_testimony/92449/bill-29-26-testimony-from-brandon-davis.pdf">https://www.aacounty.org/system/files/webform/cc_legislative_testimony/92449/bill-29-26-testimony-from-brandon-davis.pdf</a>
2026-05-03 16:04:07	Catherine	Rappole	Crofton	MD	21114-1810	Yes		Bill No. 29-26: AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Conditional Use Requirements – Licensed Dispensaries of Cannabis	No position	I support creating zoning rules for Licensed Dispensaries of Cannabis. If possible, please increase the zoning distances to be larger than what's currently included the Bill (e.g., 1,000 feet from the lot line a pre-existing school, 500 feet from property with residential use, etc.). Thank you.	

Timestamp	First name	Last name	City	State	Zip Code	Are you representing yourself?	If no, what organization or whom do you represent?	Legislation	Position	Remarks	Attachments
2026-05-04 10:56:07	Jeffrey	Kubik	Pasadena	MD	21122	Yes		Bill No. 29-26: AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Conditional Use Requirements – Licensed Dispensaries of Cannabis	Support	<p>I support Bill No. 29-26. The zoning code in Anne Arundel County should comply with the State of Maryland's minimum distance requirements established in HB0805 passed on 1 June 2024. Standard dispensaries cannot locate within 500 ft of any of these pre-existing sensitive locations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Primary School</li> <li>-Secondary School</li> <li>- Child Care Center</li> <li>-Playground</li> <li>-Recreation Center</li> <li>-Library</li> <li>-Place of Worship</li> <li>-Public Park</li> </ul> <p>Additionally, the County has the opportunity to introduce an update to their zoning code to establish a minimum buffer of 100 ft between dispensary property and residential property. Furthermore, the state allows counties to increase the distance separation between dispensaries of up to 1/2 a mile.</p> <p>This commonsense legislation preserves the safety and community well-being. County Bill 56-23 passed on 17 July 2023 took a laissez faire approach to implementing conditional zoning requirements on dispensaries that was far more relaxed than the posture taken on liquor establishments in the county. Bill 29-26 provides necessary clarity to the zoning code for cannabis dispensaries that has been lacking during the past three years.</p>	
2026-05-04 10:58:51	Alexander	Dix	Mount Airy	Maryland	21771	No	Vital	Bill No. 29-26: AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Conditional Use Requirements – Licensed Dispensaries of Cannabis	Oppose		<a href="https://www.aacounty.org/system/files/webform/cc_legislative_testimony/92596/bill_29-26_letter_of_information_dr_dix.docx">https://www.aacounty.org/system/files/webform/cc_legislative_testimony/92596/bill_29-26_letter_of_information_dr_dix.docx</a>
2026-05-04 11:14:47	Lee	Augsburger	Pasadena	MD	21122	No	Severna Park Ev	Bill No. 29-26: AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Conditional Use Requirements – Licensed Dispensaries of Cannabis	Support		