



**Office of the County Executive
STEUART PITTMAN**

ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY GOVERNMENT RELATIONS OFFICE

Legislative and Fiscal Summary of Administration Legislation

To: Members, Anne Arundel County Council

From: Ethan Hunt, Director of Government Affairs /s/

Date: April 6, 2026

Subject: Res. No. 6-26 – Resolution amending Resolution No. 12-10 to modify the boundaries of the National Business Park – North Development District.

This summary was prepared by the Anne Arundel County Government Relations Office for use by members of the Anne Arundel County Council during consideration of Res. No. 6-26.

Summary

This Resolution, introduced at the request of the Administration, seeks to amend Resolution 12-10, which established the National Business Park – North Development District (“District”) in 2010, to modify the boundaries of the District as the result of the District’s developer acquiring additional land adjacent to the current District. Legislation is necessary in order to modify a prior legislative action of the Council.

Resolution No. 12-10 designated a contiguous area within Anne Arundel County to be known as the “National Business Park – North Development District” and provided for the creation of a special tax increment fund with respect to the development district in accordance with the Tax Increment Financing Act set forth in §§12-201 through 12-213 of the Economic Development Article of the State Code. The District consists of the property described in Exhibits 1 and 2 to Resolution No. 12-10 and all adjoining roads, highways, alleys, rights of way, parks and other similar property that form the contiguous area shown on the map submitted by the County Council together with Resolution No. 12-10 and designated as Exhibit 3 to Resolution No. 12-10. In accordance with Section 4 of Resolution No. 12-10, a supplemental petition has been submitted to modify the boundaries of the District by including the Add-In Land and excluding the Excluded Land (both of which are defined in this Resolution). The petitions have been provided to the Council.

The Resolution adds eight parcels of land adjoining the district as originally designated in Resolution no. 12-10. The parcels total approximately 24.5126 acres of additional land and

Note: This Legislative and Fiscal Summary provides a synopsis of the legislation as introduced. It does not address subsequent amendments to the legislation.

consist of:

- 0.4821 acre parcel (deed book 22408/184);
- 1.05 acre parcel (deed book 22408/189);
- 0.6055 acre parcel (deed book 22408/196);
- 0.357 acre parcel (deed book 22408/199);
- lot 2 - 0.100 acre parcel and lot 3 – 3.3449 acre parcel (deed book 22812/44);
- 13.711 acre parcel designated parcel 173 (deed book 40291/272); and
- 4.862 acre parcel designated parcel 70 (deed book 40291/278).

The Resolution also removes one parcel of land from the current District originally designated by Resolution no. 12-10, consisting of 0.081 acres (deed book 25375/275).

A professional survey of the entire District, including the Add-in and Excluded land and identification of such land, is attached to the Resolution as Exhibit AA-3.

Purpose

The purpose of this resolution is to amend Resolution 12-10 and modify the boundaries of the National Business Park – North Development District by adding adjacent property of approximately 24.5126 acres to the District and making that property subject to the tax increment financing fund

Fiscal Impact

Please see the Fiscal Note prepared by the Budget Office for an explanation of the fiscal impact of this resolution.

Additional Information

The Government Relations Office is available to answer any additional questions regarding this Bill. Specific questions should be directed to Lori Blair Klasmeier, Office of Law, or David Gregory and Ellie Pinto at McKennon Shelton & Henn LLP. Thank you.

cc: Honorable Steuart Pittman, County Executive
Christine Anderson, Chief Administrative Officer
Jenny Proebstle, Chief of Staff
Gregory Swain, County Attorney
Chris Trumbauer, Budget Officer
Billie Penley, Controller
David Gregory, McKennon Shelton & Henn LLP
Ellie Pinto, McKennon Shelton & Henn LLP