

Timestamp	First name	Last name	City	State	Zip Code	Are you representing yourself?	If no, what organization or whom do you represent?	Legislation	Position	Remarks	Attachments
Bill No. 1-26, as amended											
2026-03-14 18:27:04	Jennifer	Skipp	Millersville	md	21108	Yes		Bill No. 1-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: General Development Plan – Region 6 Plan	Support	Please support Amendment #9, and thus restore Parcel 357 to RLD for the benefit of its neighboring farmland, for the health of the Jabez Branch and its environment, as well as for vehicular/pedestrian safety.	
2026-03-14 21:59:21	Caryn	Sobel	Millersville	MD	21108	Yes		Bill No. 1-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: General Development Plan – Region 6 Plan	Support	Please support Amendment #9, and thus restore Parcel 357 to RLD for the benefit of its neighboring farmland, for the health of the Jabez Branch and its environment, as well as for vehicular/pedestrian safety.	

Timestamp	First name	Last name	City	State	Zip Code	Are you representing yourself?	If no, what organization or whom do you represent?	Legislation	Position	Remarks	Attachments
2026-03-15 3:24:02	Lisa and Gerald	Stedge	Millersville	MD	21108	Yes		Bill No. 1-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: General Development Plan – Region 6 Plan	Oppose	<p>Support Amendment #9 (Bill 1-26 and Bill 2-26)</p> <p>We thank you all for initially having voted, unanimously, in favor of the amendment to maintain the Residential Low Density (RLD) zoning for CZ-R6-MRV-0003B (Parcel 357). Now, we sincerely ask you all to reconsider your recent “re-vote” on the amendment to maintain the Residential Low Density (RLD) zoning for CZ-R6-MRV-0003B (Parcel 357). We truly hope you will all vote favorably — unanimously — once again, at the March 16th Council Meeting, and thus vote in support of Amendment #9 (regarding bills 1-26 and 2-26), concerning restoring Parcel 357 to RLD, for our family-friendly, historic, and rural community, including for the parcel’s neighboring farmland. Please recall our serious environmental (e.g., fragile Jabez Branch) and vehicular/pedestrian/bicyclist safety concerns. (See deeper details in the copies of our earlier letters, which are below this message.)</p> <p>Please note that sadly, as recently as Friday, March 13th, at least one additional accident occurred on Route 3, in the same general vicinity as Parcel 357.</p> <p>Furthermore, we have heard it’s possible a “Multimodal Crossroads Community Hub” might be in the works as a consideration for the area of Parcels 357 and 353. If so, a “Multimodal Crossroads Community Hub” anywhere near the intersection of “Millersville Road, Route 3, and Annapolis Road (Route 175)” would be an atrocious, dangerous, reckless, and deadly idea. Rather, a “trail-oriented development,” which is mainly for bicyclists and pedestrians, would be acceptable, since there will be an extended “South Shore Trail” if our State and County Leaders can ever actually make the “Intersection of Millersville Road, Route 3, and Annapolis Road (Route 175)” safe. Currently, though, that intersection receives a “Fail,” to say the least.</p> <p>Please, please, please go back to your original, righteous, and unanimous vote in favor of the amendment!</p> <p>Thank you!</p>	

Timestamp	First name	Last name	City	State	Zip Code	Are you representing yourself?	If no, what organization or whom do you represent?	Legislation	Position	Remarks	Attachments
2026-03-15 3:35:06	Lisa and Gerald	Stedge	Millersville	MD	21108	Yes		Bill No. 1-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: General Development Plan – Region 6 Plan	Oppose	<p>Support Amendment #9 (Bill 1-26 and Bill 2-26) —</p> <p>Part 2 of Earlier Testimony (supporting details):</p> <p>Begin forwarded message: Date: February 17, 2026 at 12:13:34 PM EST Subject: Bill 1-26 (Region 6 Plan) & Bill 2-26 (Comprehensive Zoning Map)</p> <p>We humbly request your help, regarding the following, because danger and negative environmental impacts are related serious concerns:</p> <p>~ Region 6 Plan (Bill 1-26) ~ Comprehensive Zoning Map (Bill 2-26).</p> <p>We support the amendment to maintain the RLD zoning for CZ-R6-MRV-0003B. We thus oppose any upzoning to commercial use of the RLD (residential) parcel at the corner of Rt. 3 North and Millersville Road.</p> <p>Specifically, please help us by retaining the RLD zoning for CZ-R6-MRV-0003B. Here's an idea: A large home(s)/mansion(s), complete with a long entryway driveway, could easily be constructed in that "residential" area. Someone will surely buy such a home(s)/mansion(s). There is no need for upzoning that parcel and causing high traffic.</p> <p>Please oppose any upzoning in the vicinity of Millersville Road and Route 3.</p> <p>Please further preserve the health of the Jabez Branch watershed by keeping traffic — as well as any impervious pavement on the land — to a minimum.</p> <p>Please scroll way down below this message, in order to read our document, which details our concerns, as well as notes our suggestions for compromises.</p> <p>Please let us know if you received this message, as well as our document.</p> <p>Thank you, so much, for your hard work. *****</p> <p>Please take to heart our following document, which contains three (3) sections.</p> <p>SECTION 1</p> <p>We oppose upzoning CZ-R6-MRV-0003B (Parcel 357) to C2 for many reasons, including the following:</p> <p>DANGER:</p> <p>The area of the intersection of "Millersville Road, Route 3, and Annapolis Road (Route 175)" is much too dangerous to be "upzoned" and commercialized. Based on traffic statistics received from the Maryland State Police, the following conclusions can be made for the area of the intersection of "Millersville Road, Route 3, and Annapolis Road (Route 175)":</p>	

Timestamp	First name	Last name	City	State	Zip Code	Are you representing yourself?	If no, what organization or whom do you represent?	Legislation	Position	Remarks	Attachments
2026-03-15 7:29:30	Ryan	Rosencranz	Millersville	Maryland	21108	Yes		Bill No. 1-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: General Development Plan – Region 6 Plan	Support	Please support Amendment #9, and thus restore Parcel 357 to RLD for the benefit of its neighboring farmland, for the health of the Jabez Branch and its environment, as well as for vehicular/pedestrian safety.	
2026-03-15 8:26:10	Will	Parson	Millersville	MD	21108	Yes		Bill No. 1-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: General Development Plan – Region 6 Plan	No position	Please support Amendment #9, restoring Parcel 357 to RLD for the benefit of its neighboring farmland, for the health of the Jabez Branch and its environment, as well as for vehicular/pedestrian safety.	
2026-03-15 12:41:39	Deborah	Weller	Millersville	Maryland	21108	No	Old Millersville N	Bill No. 1-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: General Development Plan – Region 6 Plan	Oppose	<p>I'm writing on behalf of the Old Millersville Neighborhood Association to express our disappointment in your support for the amendment to classify Parcel 357 as C2 Commercial and the process by which it occurred. I ask that you reconsider and support Ms. Rodvien's Amendment to restore the RLD zoning and land use.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •The assertion that parcel 357 is essential for the C2 development is inaccurate. SHA's comments on the owner's development plans did not prohibit using the C2 parcel, although they necessitated close coordination with the State regarding site ingress and egress. Notably, the applicant has not attempted to revise the project footprint to comply with the C2 parcel constraints •Maintaining RLD zoning for Parcel 357 is essential for land-use compatibility with the neighboring rural districts. C2 zoning represents an inappropriate intensity of use that threatens both the agricultural viability of the adjacent area and the established goal to establish a community and trail-oriented hub at this intersection. Furthermore, the lack of public water and sewer infrastructure makes intensive commercial development physically and environmentally unfeasible for this site 	https://www.aacounty.org/system/files/webform/cc_legislative_testimony/87582/march-16-omna-written-testimony.docx

Timestamp	First name	Last name	City	State	Zip Code	Are you representing yourself?	If no, what organization or whom do you represent?	Legislation	Position	Remarks	Attachments
2026-03-15 14:55:56	Deborah	Unitus Bereznak	Millersville	MD	21108	No	Board of Director	Bill No. 1-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: General Development Plan – Region 6 Plan	Support	<p>As a Board member of the Indian Landing Community Association and a long time advocate to protect RLD zoning in this historical, residential, environmentally threatened area of Millersville Road and Rt. 3, I support Amendment 9. This area is our home and our community. Council members must listen to their constituents, not unknown lobbyists. We are being violated by clandestine actors who are trying to make this a commercial business site.</p> <p>We oppose the second amendment introduced by Councilman Volke to change the RLD decision to commercial. The first amendment sponsored by Ms. Rodvien had the full support of the Council in February. Suddenly, on March 2, there were secret conversations by several council members with unknown characters to change the vote and favor business interests and thus changing RLD status back to commercial. We are shocked that our years long documented research and testimony to save RLD for Parcel 357, known to the Community as the Greer property, was thwarted by secret undocumented conversations with several council members by someone who was not even identified. This is not how our government works. We expect more from our Council members with desires to become County Executive. We are encouraged by the Council members who supported us in our quest to save our land and water over the interests of outside commercial actors. Do not forget Rt 3 and the exploding number of accidents and fatalities every year on this dangerous and failed State Road.</p>	
2026-03-15 16:55:56	David	Demers	Millersville	MD	21108	Yes		Bill No. 1-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: General Development Plan – Region 6 Plan	Support	I want to thank Ms Rodvien for reintroducing amendment No.1 to Bill No. 1-26. I support the zoning change to parcel 357 on tax map 30 located at 679 Maryland Route 3 North Lane, Gambrells, Maryland from commercial to RLD. I live within a mile of this property and highly recommend that it remain zoned RLD.	
2026-03-15 19:09:59	Gail	Campbell	Millersville	MD	21108	Yes		Bill No. 1-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: General Development Plan – Region 6 Plan	Support	I support restoring Parcel 357 (The Historic Greer Property) at the corner of Millersville Rd and Rt.3 from C2 to RLD. This is urgent to retain the rural nature of our community, and also protect the Jabez Branch waterway which feeds into the Severn River. Also, the traffic in this area is already congested, making it dangerous for vehicle traffic, pedestrians, and bicycles.	
2026-03-15 19:29:49	Mark	Campbell	Millersville	MD	21108	Yes		Bill No. 1-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: General Development Plan – Region 6 Plan	Support	The Greer Property should be zoned RLD to maintain the rural nature of our community. Development of this property at the intersection of Rt. 3 and Millersville Rd. would bring even more traffic to this already congested area. Development would also impact the environmental area around the Jabez Branch which eventually flows into the Severn River.	

Timestamp	First name	Last name	City	State	Zip Code	Are you representing yourself?	If no, what organization or whom do you represent?	Legislation	Position	Remarks	Attachments
2026-03-18 13:55:43	Ann	Beech	Millersville	MD	21108	Yes		Bill No. 1-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: General Development Plan – Region 6 Plan	Oppose	I oppose the rezoning of parcel 357 back to C2 commercial! I live one third of a mile from the area in question and have seen first hand how congested and dangerous that has become over the last 40, yes 40 years! Enough of the development, I understand it generates money, but not to take into consideration your constituents and just reverse a unanimous decision leaves a bad impression. The property should remain RLD.	
2026-03-18 23:04:45	Trish	Lilek	Millersville	MD	21108	Yes		Bill No. 1-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: General Development Plan – Region 6 Plan	Oppose	Oppose bill amendment as currently written. Do not approve of upzoning this parcel. In support of Ms. Rodvien's amendment to change parcel 357 CZ-R6-MRV-0700 status back to RLD. To be presented on March 19th	https://www.aacounty.org/system/files/webform/cc_legislative_testimony/88023/gambrills-station-zoning-testimony-trish-031826.docx
2026-03-18 23:23:20	Donald	Weller	Millersville	MD	21108	Yes		Bill No. 1-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: General Development Plan – Region 6 Plan	Oppose	I support Amendment 9 to restore RLD zoning to the parcel near the Rt 3-Millersville Rd intersection. Passing this amendment will avoid the negative impacts of converting the parcel to commercial; more traffic, poorer safety, more damage to Jabez Branch, and loss of Regional rural character. Arguments for repealing the earlier Amendment 1 cast aside these many concerns as well as public opposition to upzoning in favor of simplistic arguments that favored developer convenience and profits over the public good.	https://www.aacounty.org/system/files/webform/cc_legislative_testimony/88025/donwellerwritten.docx
2026-03-19 4:00:08	Joyce	Rosencranz	MILLERSVILLE	MD	21108	No	President, ILCA,	Bill No. 1-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: General Development Plan – Region 6 Plan	Support	We respectfully request that as a minimum, upzoning of this parcel be delayed until the following conditions are met: - Environmental Protection: The Jabez Branch watershed overlay must be formally adopted to ensure that thermal pollution from stormwater is adequately addressed. Although required, the County's stormwater standards do not provide the protection REQUIRED by Jabez Branch. Until this action is implemented, the only way to meet the Plan 2040 commitment is to NOT upzone and develop more land in its watershed. - Agricultural Preservation: The County has lost most of its farms, including the Dairy Farm that hosted the only local large animal 4-H venue. Those remaining along RT3 need to be placed into agricultural preservation. - Traffic Analysis: A thorough determination of the FACTUAL impacts on traffic for Route 3, Route 175 and the connecting 2-lane Millersville and other roads must be conducted. - Alternative Acquisition: The County should seriously consider purchasing the parcel as part of the DRP South Shore/Odenton trails connection ACROSS Rt3, and the State Highway Administration's proposed trail along Route 3 South. HOW will the trails traverse Rt3 SAFELY? What does DRP currently say about this PLANNED connection? To date there is no response. Thank you for considering these points for the Council meeting.	

Timestamp	First name	Last name	City	State	Zip Code	Are you representing yourself?	If no, what organization or whom do you represent?	Legislation	Position	Remarks	Attachments
2026-03-19 4:49:54	Heather	Tompkins	Millersville	Md	21108	Yes		Bill No. 1-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: General Development Plan – Region 6 Plan	No position	I am only in support of Amendment #9 in relation to restoring RLD zoning for Parcel 357 being put forth by Councilwoman Rodvien. Please see attached document for my testimony, Thank you	https://www.aacounty.org/system/files/webform/cc_legislative_testimony/88032/constituent-heather-tompkins-testimony-in-support-of-restoring-rld-zoning-for-parcel-357.pdf
2026-03-19 6:35:24	Scott	Blacketter	Millersville	MD	21108	Yes		Bill No. 1-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: General Development Plan – Region 6 Plan	Support	I support Amendment #9, and retaining Parcel 357 as RLD. Conversions of parcels in this area to commercial are unwelcomed money grabs by local developers. Please slow the damage to our historic community exemplified by the rapid overdevelopment of Rt. 3.	

Timestamp	First name	Last name	City	State	Zip Code	Are you representing yourself?	If no, what organization or whom do you represent?	Legislation	Position	Remarks	Attachments
2026-03-19 10:33:39	Gretchen	Bandy	Millersville	MD	21108	Yes		Bill No. 1-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: General Development Plan – Region 6 Plan	Support	<p>I support Councilwoman Lisa Rodvien's introduction of an amendment to the Anne Arundel County Council to restore Residential Low Density (RLD) zoning to Parcel 357.</p> <p>I have previously expressed several points of concern regarding the up-zoning of this parcel to C2 commercial. These points are in order of concern, but, quite frankly, it was difficult to place an order since all are of grave concern.</p> <p>-Traffic and Safety: To increase commercial development at one of the most dangerous intersections in the county is absurd. It will also increase traffic and risk on Millersville Road and Route 175. I worry everyday about crossing the road to my mailbox on Millersville Road and especially about my elder neighbor (s) doing so. The trail users, street cyclists and others are already in danger not only on Millersville Road but also on Cecil Ave, Severn Chapel Road, and St. Stephens Church Road as more vehicles, both local and through traffic, avoid Rt. 3 and especially the Rt. 3/Millersville Road/Rt. 175 intersection. To exacerbate this dangerous situation would be beyond reproach.</p> <p>-Jabez Branch Protection: It is impossible to believe that rezoning to allow intense, high-density development will not further impact the already endangered Jabez Branch. Even if millions more are spent (which will not be recouped financially by the county from the development), we all know from past experience and promises that this will be a setback for the Branch, Severn Run, Severn River, and the Bay.</p> <p>-Rural and Historic Nature of Millersville: The county seems bent on destroying the nature of Millersville Road bit by bit. The plans for Millersville Park are a catastrophe in the works. The traffic, especially at the beginning and end of schooldays and church-days, is sometimes half a mile or more. People dangerously "play chicken" going around these long backups. It's already very scary and frustrating and will get worse with the park. Between the unsightliness of a commercial development, the further traffic congestion, and other impacts, those of us who sought out living here, have stayed here for generations, bicycle, stroll, and recreate here, school and worship here, and otherwise enjoy this special road and community, would receive an extremely sad, unfortunate, and unnecessary change to this uniquely wonderful place, if these changes were to go forward.</p> <p>I have many more concerns and could add more detail to those above, but I'll stop here in hopes this will actually be read. Many of my neighbors are offering more lengthy, well researched and documented information that I hope will be read.</p> <p>Thank you for your time. I support Councilwoman Lisa Rodvien's introduction of an amendment to the Anne Arundel County Council to restore Residential</p>	

Timestamp	First name	Last name	City	State	Zip Code	Are you representing yourself?	If no, what organization or whom do you represent?	Legislation	Position	Remarks	Attachments
2026-03-19 10:36:57	Diane	Gomezjurado	Millersville	MD	21108	Yes		Bill No. 1-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: General Development Plan – Region 6 Plan	Support	<p>This parcel 357 should remain RLD and should not be C2.</p> <p>This decision ignores the clear negative fiscal, traffic, traffic safety, and environmental impacts our community raised throughout the planning process. The process by which Mr. Volke made his motion at the last minute was technically legal, but did not provide the community the opportunity to comment. There was no mechanism for the public to know that the amendment was being reconsidered. The community has consistently voiced its opposition throughout this process. It is concerning that the input of one individual, Steve Hyatt, outweighed the concerns of the majority.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The County has long prided itself on its commitment to public participation and transparency throughout the regional planning process. The March 2 County Council meeting's 4 to 3 vote to restore C2 zoning on the RLD parcel is a blow to the integrity of our regional planning process. The decision to prioritize the secret voices of one late-arriving stakeholder over the documented community concerns is a betrayal of that promise. We only learned that there was only one stakeholder – Steve Hyatt, by emailing Mr. Volke. It was Mr. Steve Hyatt representing the property owners. - It is clear that in this scenario, the developer is the only winner. - The assertion that parcel 357 is essential for the C2 development is inaccurate. SHA's comments on the owner's development plans did not prohibit using the C2 parcel, although they necessitated close coordination with the State regarding site ingress and egress. Notably, the applicant has not attempted to revise the project footprint to comply with the C2 parcel constraints - Maintaining RLD zoning for Parcel 357 is essential for land-use compatibility with the neighboring rural districts. C2 zoning represents an inappropriate intensity of use that threatens both the agricultural viability of the area and the established low-impact intent for this intersection. Furthermore, the lack of public water and sewer infrastructure makes intensive commercial development physically and environmentally unfeasible for this site - This rezoning will result in a net negative for our environment, public safety, and fiscal health. It is clear that in this scenario, the developer is the only winner. Shame on you for disregarding the extensive outreach and data already established in favor of a last-minute reversal. - To Councilmember Pickard: as a candidate for County Executive, your position on this issue is especially concerning. Voters expect a leader who supports transparency and protects the community's future, not one who bends to developer pressure. 	

Timestamp	First name	Last name	City	State	Zip Code	Are you representing yourself?	If no, what organization or whom do you represent?	Legislation	Position	Remarks	Attachments
Bill No. 2-26, as amended											
2026-03-05 15:46:11	Richard	Bailey	Annapolis	MD	21401	Yes		Bill No. 2-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 6	Support	See Attached	https://www.aacounty.org/system/files/webform/cc_legislative_testimony/86616/richard-bailey-letter.pdf
2026-03-05 15:49:02	Ryan	Ganoe	Crownsville	MD	21032	Yes		Bill No. 2-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 6	Support	Please consider attached	https://www.aacounty.org/system/files/webform/cc_legislative_testimony/86617/ryan-ganoe-letter.pdf
2026-03-14 18:27:34	Jennifer	Skipp	Millersville	MD	21108	Yes		Bill No. 2-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 6	Support	Please support Amendment #9, and thus restore Parcel 357 to RLD for the benefit of its neighboring farmland, for the health of the Jabez Branch and its environment, as well as for vehicular/pedestrian safety.	
2026-03-14 21:08:57	Marissa	Salta	Riva	MD	21140	Yes		Bill No. 2-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 6	Support	Comments Attached	https://www.aacounty.org/system/files/webform/cc_legislative_testimony/87552/marissa-salta-1222-c4-support-letter.docx.pdf
2026-03-14 21:17:32	Patricia	McCall	Lothian	MD	20711	Yes		Bill No. 2-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 6	Support	Comments Attached	https://www.aacounty.org/system/files/webform/cc_legislative_testimony/87553/patricia-mccall-letter.pdf
2026-03-14 21:21:35	Jim	Peck	Lothian	MD	20711	Yes		Bill No. 2-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 6	Support	I have attached a letter	https://www.aacounty.org/system/files/webform/cc_legislative_testimony/87554/jim-peck-1222-c4-support-letter.docx.pdf
2026-03-14 21:59:50	Caryn	Sobel	Millersville	MD	21108	Yes		Bill No. 2-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 6	Support	Please support Amendment #9, and thus restore Parcel 357 to RLD for the benefit of its neighboring farmland, for the health of the Jabez Branch and its environment, as well as for vehicular/pedestrian safety.”:	

Timestamp	First name	Last name	City	State	Zip Code	Are you representing yourself?	If no, what organization or whom do you represent?	Legislation	Position	Remarks	Attachments
2026-03-15 3:30:15	Lisa and Gerald	Stedge	Millersville	MD	21108	Yes		Bill No. 2-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 6	Oppose	<p>Support Amendment #9 (Bill 1-26 and Bill 2-26)</p> <p>We thank you all for initially having voted, unanimously, in favor of the amendment to maintain the Residential Low Density (RLD) zoning for CZ-R6-MRV-0003B (Parcel 357). Now, we sincerely ask you all to reconsider your recent “re-vote” on the amendment to maintain the Residential Low Density (RLD) zoning for CZ-R6-MRV-0003B (Parcel 357). We truly hope you will all vote favorably — unanimously — once again, at the March 16th Council Meeting, and thus vote in support of Amendment #9 (regarding bills 1-26 and 2-26), concerning restoring Parcel 357 to RLD, for our family-friendly, historic, and rural community, including for the parcel’s neighboring farmland. Please recall our serious environmental (e.g., fragile Jabez Branch) and vehicular/pedestrian/bicyclist safety concerns. (See deeper details in the copies of our earlier letters, which are below this message.)</p> <p>Please note that sadly, as recently as Friday, March 13th, at least one additional accident occurred on Route 3, in the same general vicinity as Parcel 357.</p> <p>Furthermore, we have heard it’s possible a “Multimodal Crossroads Community Hub” might be in the works as a consideration for the area of Parcels 357 and 353. If so, a “Multimodal Crossroads Community Hub” anywhere near the intersection of “Millersville Road, Route 3, and Annapolis Road (Route 175)” would be an atrocious, dangerous, reckless, and deadly idea. Rather, a “trail-oriented development,” which is mainly for bicyclists and pedestrians, would be acceptable, since there will be an extended “South Shore Trail” if our State and County Leaders can ever actually make the “Intersection of Millersville Road, Route 3, and Annapolis Road (Route 175)” safe. Currently, though, that intersection receives a “Fail,” to say the least.</p> <p>Please, please, please go back to your original, righteous, and unanimous vote in favor of the amendment!</p> <p>Thank you!</p> <p>Begin forwarded message: Date: February 17, 2026 at 12:13:34 PM EST Subject: Bill 1-26 (Region 6 Plan) & Bill 2-26 (Comprehensive Zoning Map)</p> <p>We humbly request your help, regarding the following, because danger and negative environmental impacts are related serious concerns:</p> <p>~ Region 6 Plan (Bill 1-26) ~ Comprehensive Zoning Map (Bill 2-26).</p> <p>We support the amendment to maintain the RLD zoning for CZ-R6-MRV-0003B. We thus oppose any upzoning to commercial use of the</p>	

Timestamp	First name	Last name	City	State	Zip Code	Are you representing yourself?	If no, what organization or whom do you represent?	Legislation	Position	Remarks	Attachments
2026-03-15 7:30:14	Ryan	Rosencranz	Millersville	Maryland	21108	Yes		Bill No. 2-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 6	Support	Please support Amendment #9, and thus restore Parcel 357 to RLD for the benefit of its neighboring farmland, for the health of the Jabez Branch and its environment, as well as for vehicular/pedestrian safety.	
2026-03-15 8:28:49	Will	Parson	Millersville	MD	21108	Yes		Bill No. 2-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 6	No position	Please support Amendment #9, restoring Parcel 357 to RLD for the benefit of its neighboring farmland, for the health of the Jabez Branch and its environment, as well as for vehicular/pedestrian safety.	
2026-03-15 10:28:25	Robert	Estrella	Crofton	MD	21114	Yes		Bill No. 2-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 6	Support	I have attached a letter	https://www.aacounty.org/system/files/webform/cc_legislative_testimony/87572/robert-estrella-1222-c4-support-letter.docx.pdf
2026-03-15 10:31:12	Shane	Salta	Riva	MD	21140	Yes		Bill No. 2-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 6	Support	Thank you for reading my letter	https://www.aacounty.org/system/files/webform/cc_legislative_testimony/87573/shane-salte-1222-c4-support-letter.docx.pdf
2026-03-15 10:33:51	Steven	Tartaglia	HARWOOD	MD	20776	Yes		Bill No. 2-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 6	Support	Attached letter	https://www.aacounty.org/system/files/webform/cc_legislative_testimony/87574/steve-tartaglia-1222-c4-support-letter.docx.pdf
2026-03-15 15:12:51	Deborah	Unitus Bereznak	Millersville	Md	21108	No	Board of Director	Bill No. 2-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 6	Support	As a Board member of the Indian Landing Community Association, I support Amendment 9 introduced by Ms. Rodvien to restore the RLD zoning to Parcel 357. In February, the Council gave its full support to keeping the Greer Property RLD. Or as government officials named Parcel 357. Our community was undermined by private unknown, clandestine conversations with some council members who then convinced some Council members to change their vote on March 2. That meeting was not advertised, no document was delivered and no testimony provided. Shocking, sad, and extremely disappointing to our community who expects more from their representatives. We want Amendment 9 approved to restore RLD zoning to Parcel 357.	
2026-03-15 19:20:15	Gail	Campbell	Millersville	MD	21108	Yes		Bill No. 2-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 6	Support	I support restoring the Historic Greer Property at the corner of Route 3 and Millersville Road to RLD from C2. This is rural land and should retain it's farmland zoning. It also a concern that development in this area would have an adverse affect on the Jabez Branch which flows into the Severn River. Increased traffic is also a huge concern. Traffic in this stretch is already overly congested. Increased traffic would make this intersection even more dangerous for vehicles, pedestrians, an bicyclists.	

Timestamp	First name	Last name	City	State	Zip Code	Are you representing yourself?	If no, what organization or whom do you represent?	Legislation	Position	Remarks	Attachments
2026-03-15 19:42:01	Mark	Campbell	Millersville	MD	21108	Yes		Bill No. 2-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 6	Support	The Historic Greer Property should be zoned RLD. There is farmland in this area and residents want to retain this rural zoning. The traffic in the area of Rt. 3 and Millersville Road is already difficult, with many accidents in this area. More development would make this intersection even more dangerous for vehicles, pedestrians and bicycles using the trail that begins at this intersection. In addition, the Jabez Branch that flows into the Severn River could be impacted by development and a C2 zoning.	
2026-03-16 8:58:06	Elizabeth	Rosborg	Arnold	MD	21012	Yes		Bill No. 2-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 6	Support	Please read attached letter	https://www.aacounty.org/system/files/webform/cc_legislative_testimony/87642/rosborg-cty-council-ltr.pdf
2026-03-16 12:25:44	Linda	Plattner	Millersville	Maryland	21108	Yes		Bill No. 2-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 6	Oppose	I support Council Member Lisa Rodvien's amendment to maintain the split zoning on the property at the southeast corner of Maryland Route 3 and Millersville Road, and oppose the reconsideration by the Council at it's meeting on March 2, 2026.	https://www.aacounty.org/system/files/webform/cc_legislative_testimony/87694/testimony-of-linda-plattner-in-support-of-amendment-by-council-member-lisa-rodvien-to-overtum-c2-zoning-from-rld-for-property-on-the-corner-of-maryland-route-3-and-millersvill.docx
2026-03-16 15:45:30	Nick	Stanco	Crownsville	MD	21032	Yes		Bill No. 2-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 6	Oppose	Please read my letter	https://www.aacounty.org/system/files/webform/cc_legislative_testimony/87721/scan.pdf

Timestamp	First name	Last name	City	State	Zip Code	Are you representing yourself?	If no, what organization or whom do you represent?	Legislation	Position	Remarks	Attachments
2026-03-16 15:54:00	Victoria	Walsh	Millersville	MD	21108	Yes		Bill No. 2-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 6	Oppose	<p>My name is Victoria Walsh and I reside at 1017 Hansel Dr, Millersville, MD 21108, which is directly next to the property being discussed for rezoning.</p> <p>I would like to express my strong opposition to this development.</p> <p>As a full time farmer (Hansel Hill Farm) who's only source of income is from farming. Our urban farm (already acknowledged by the state as a region with minimal areas for farming) is not able to support our community fully because we are operating on 2 acres of land. We have looked for land in this region, that is zoned AG, that we could afford, and there aren't any. This particular lot, which is directly next to our farm, is AG zoned, however, its up for sale as property that would be zoned for commercial use. There is no farmer in this county that can afford the multi-million dollar price tag. Does that seem right to you?</p> <p>Side note, they are currently breaking their zoning rules by allowing businesses to operate on that space.</p> <p>As a parent, the amount of traffic is a serious concern. Right at the entrance to their property, is their bus stop and our mailboxes. The mailboxes have been taken out by drivers not paying attention. It's dangerous to the point that I wouldn't let my children use the bus stop. Adding more traffic to this area further exacerbated an already strained intersection would be reckless.</p> <p>As a resident, the intersection of r3 and 175 is one of the most dangerous in the county AND state. I, myself, nearly died 3 years ago at that intersection. There are daily accidents and I don't know how many people have DIED at this intersection, but even one more should never be allowed to happen, let alone enhanced by adding commercial real estate to that intersection.</p>	
2026-03-18 14:58:36	Trevor	Gibson	Harwood	MD	20776	Yes		Bill No. 2-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 6	Support	Please see attached remarks	https://www.aacounty.org/system/files/webform/cc_legislative_testimony/87966/trevor-gibson-1222-c4-support-letter.docx.pdf
2026-03-18 15:01:48	Steven	Tartaglia	Harwood	MD	20776	Yes		Bill No. 2-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 6	Support	Remarks attached	https://www.aacounty.org/system/files/webform/cc_legislative_testimony/87967/steve-tartaglia-1222-c4-support-letter.docx.pdf

Timestamp	First name	Last name	City	State	Zip Code	Are you representing yourself?	If no, what organization or whom do you represent?	Legislation	Position	Remarks	Attachments
2026-03-18 21:13:21	Claudia	O'Keeffe	Crownsville	MD	21032	Yes		Bill No. 2-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 6	Oppose	<p>RE: CZ-R6-MRV0700 (Previously CZ-R6-MRV0003B) – Amendment 1 Route 3 at Millersville Road:</p> <p>A question was asked by Councilman Volke at the March 2, 2026 council meeting regarding how good the county's stormwater management is. I used to work in Development Division at AA County OPZ, and enforced stormwater management regulations. County stormwater regulations are good, but they do not address temperature change caused by stormwater runoff. The biggest threat to brook trout is thermal shock from heated stormwater runoff.</p> <p>Brook trout, which were native to Jabez Run, are very sensitive to temperature, they thrive at 55-60°F and die at water temperatures of about 68-70°F. Per Irvin Senkel, past President of Patapsco Valley Trout Unlimited, regarding a study of brook trout at Jabez Branch, "We identified warm stormwater runoff from moderate to large summer rain events as the likely cause of the extirpation."</p> <p>(The US EPA is studying temperature effects of stormwater runoff, but there are no regulations yet. Informative EPA slideshow here: chrome-extension://efaidnbmnnnibpcajpcglclefindmkaj/https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2014-07/documents/wayehotrunoff.pdf)</p> <p>It's unfortunate that the county's plan for a bus facility was set aside, as this would have allowed (though not required) the county to develop the site in a way that takes water temperature into account. Private developers only have to meet minimum requirements, which do not require temperature management.</p> <p>Some community members are asking for the county to make this land into a park. There are a number of reasons why that's a bad idea.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Noise from Route 3 exceeds limits that can cause permanent hearing loss. County code requires a minimum setback of 150 feet from Route 3 for any residential development, or more if a required sound study finds noise levels above 66 decibels. About half of the site is within 150 feet of Route 3, park users would be exposed to noise levels that damage hearing. -Placing a park within eyeshot of a Wawa and Royal Farms may encourage people to cross a busy highway at one of the deadliest intersections in the county. -Airborne pollution from Route 3 traffic is also a significant hazard. <p>The community is supporting a park at this location because they feel it would result in less development and lower traffic than other uses. Yet the proposed Millersville Park further down Millersville Road (near the elementary school) is facing opposition on the grounds that it will bring too much traffic and too much development. Those two assertions appear to</p>	

Timestamp	First name	Last name	City	State	Zip Code	Are you representing yourself?	If no, what organization or whom do you represent?	Legislation	Position	Remarks	Attachments
2026-03-18 21:14:33	Claudia	O'Keeffe	Crownsville	MD	21032	Yes		Bill No. 2-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 6	Oppose	<p>RE: CZ-R6-CRV0700 (Previously CZ-R6-CRV0009) – Amendment 2 1222 Generals Hwy</p> <p>I strongly urge the council to revisit this amendment and vote retain the current C-1 zoning.</p> <p>The applicant claims they want a more northern location for their business, but they already currently own a C-4 zoned property at Cloverleaf Business Park in Millersville (8229 Cloverleaf Dr, Suite 460). Their claim appears to be disingenuous. They purchased this property with full knowledge that it was zoned C-1. There are many C-4 zoned properties available in the region, including at least three on Route 3. They should not be granted a lucrative up-zoning when other businesses follow the rules and pay prevailing rates for appropriately zoned land.</p> <p>It is virtually unheard of to allow C-4 “Highway-Oriented” zoning next to a public park. It is in no way compatible with the adjacent uses of a public trail and public park, and will negatively impact the adjacent park and trail. As the applicant indicated in testimony, there is only a BGE right-of-way separating this property from neighboring residential areas. C-1 zoning, for “local commercial district” allows uses that support the adjacent properties and will add value to the county park and trail. Please vote to retain the current C-1 zoning.</p> <p>The property has minimal frontage on the highway, with access to Generals Highway on only a narrow driveway on one side of an existing gas station. The frontage on the other side of the gas station is interrupted by a 15-foot deep pit. This limited frontage is incompatible with “highway-oriented” use.</p> <p>The allowed uses on C-4 zoning are a grave concern to the community, uses such as vehicle recycling facility (junk yard), tow lot, self-storage facility, and many other unsightly and undesirable uses – immediately adjacent to a public trail and only a few feet away from residential land. The applicant may express some other use, but once the land is rezoned the community has no way of preventing these undesirable uses. It should be noted that a building permit was submitted for a tow lot across the street from this property in 2023. That business stopped pursuing that permit around the same time this applicant purchased 1222 Generals Hwy.</p>	

Timestamp	First name	Last name	City	State	Zip Code	Are you representing yourself?	If no, what organization or whom do you represent?	Legislation	Position	Remarks	Attachments
2026-03-18 21:15:25	Claudia	O'Keeffe	Crownsville	MD	21032	Yes		Bill No. 2-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 6	Oppose	<p>RE: CZ-R6-CRV0701 (Previously CZ-R6-CRV0010) 1240 Generals Hwy</p> <p>I strongly urge the council to revisit this amendment and vote to retain the current C-1 zoning.</p> <p>It is virtually unheard of to allow C-4 “Highway-Oriented” zoning next to a public park. It is in no way compatible with the adjacent uses of a public trail and public park, and will negatively impact the adjacent park and trail. There is only a BGE right-of-way separating this property from neighboring residential areas. C-1 zoning, for “local commercial district” allows uses that support the adjacent properties and will add value to the county park and trail. Please vote to retain the current C-1 zoning.</p> <p>The property has minimal frontage on the highway, with access to Generals Highway on only a narrow driveway on one side of an existing gas station. The frontage on the other side of the gas station is interrupted by a 15-foot deep pit. This limited frontage is incompatible with “highway-oriented” use.</p> <p>The allowed uses on C-4 zoning are a grave concern to the community, uses such as vehicle recycling facility (junk yard), tow lot, self-storage facility, and many other unsightly and undesirable uses – immediately adjacent to a public trail and only a few feet away from residential land. The applicant may express some other use, but once the land is rezoned the community has no way of preventing these undesirable uses.</p>	

Timestamp	First name	Last name	City	State	Zip Code	Are you representing yourself?	If no, what organization or whom do you represent?	Legislation	Position	Remarks	Attachments
2026-03-18 21:16:09	Claudia	O'Keeffe	Crownsville	MD	21032	Yes		Bill No. 2-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 6	Oppose	<p>RE: CZ-R6-CRV0704 (Previously CZ-R6-CRV0012) 1182 Honeysuckle Lane</p> <p>I strongly urge the council to revisit this amendment and vote to retain the current RA zoning.</p> <p>-This land is located in an environmentally sensitive area, at the headwaters of the South River.</p> <p>-Honeysuckle Road is severely sub-standard, with right-of-way width as narrow as 20 feet and paved width as narrow as 14 feet. If a driver encounters a school bus or other large vehicle, they cannot pass each other. It is dangerous to add more vehicles to this sub-standard road.</p> <p>-New lots would fall under the threshold for a traffic study, meaning no road improvements would be required.</p> <p>-Five additional lots on this property would severely damage the viewshed from the golf course, diminishing the experience of people who visit the golf course property and negatively impacting valuable County-owned property.</p> <p>The applicant indicated that they want additional lots for their family, but the registered agent for LLC that owns the property already owns several properties in the county, more than enough for every member of their family. They run a wealth management fund in Annapolis; this is clearly a valuable money-making opportunity, not a "need". Properties that they currently own are valued at several million dollars, and include:</p> <p>1182 Honeysuckle Ln (the subject of this rezoning request) 1862 Severn Grove Rd, Annapolis 3 Holly Rd, Annapolis 237 Prince George Street, Annapolis</p> <p>Back in 2020, the LLC owner spoke against development inside the Parole Growth Management Area, near his home at 1862 Severn Grove Rd, because development should be concentrated "in an urban core area around the mall, hospital, ... a compromise hammered out between county leaders, citizens and development interests to maximize use of infrastructure and transportation". Now that same entity is lobbying for denser development far outside the Growth Management Area.</p> <p>The applicant should be held to the same principle that they advocated for six years ago; keep development in urban areas that have adequate infrastructure.</p>	

Timestamp	First name	Last name	City	State	Zip Code	Are you representing yourself?	If no, what organization or whom do you represent?	Legislation	Position	Remarks	Attachments
2026-03-18 21:17:01	Claudia	O'Keeffe	Crownsville	MD	21032	Yes		Bill No. 2-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 6	Oppose	<p>RE: CZ-R6-CRV0601 (Previously CZ-R6-CRV0013) Crownsville Road</p> <p>I request the council retain the existing RA zoning for this property.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -The current zoning is in line with the underlying land use for the property -The property is surrounded by Open Space and Agricultural zoning, separated from higher-zoned areas by I-97. -The land is in an environmentally sensitive area, at the headwaters to the South River and immediately adjacent to Water Works Park. -Development of this large parcel would be devastating to rural nature of the area, and completely opposite from the vision for the Crownsville area set forth by the Small Area Committee. -100 additional homes on Crownsville Road would increase traffic by 1,000 trips per day every day. Crownsville Road is a Scenic/Historic Road, and would not be subject to the road improvements required of other roads. The traffic implications would be dire, and would negatively impact MD 240 and Generals Highway, as well as Crownsville Road. <p>The land is clearly being farmed (based on Google Maps views). If the current owners no longer want to farm the land, it is not unusual to lease out farmland so other farmers can continue to farm the land. This provides income for the landowner and preserves increasingly rare county farmland.</p> <p>Please respect the wishes of the Crownsville Community and the vision of the Region 6 SAC and preserve the existing RA zoning for this land.</p>	
2026-03-18 23:24:41	Donald	Weller	Millersville	MD	21108	Yes		Bill No. 2-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 6	Oppose	<p>I support Amendment 9 to restore RLD zoning to the parcel near the Rt 3-Millersville Rd intersection. Passing this amendment will avoid the negative impacts of converting the parcel to commercial; more traffic, poorer safety, more damage to Jabez Branch, and loss of Regional rural character. Arguments for repealing the earlier Amendment 1 cast aside these many concerns as well as public opposition to upzoning in favor of simplistic arguments that favored developer convenience and profits over the public good.</p>	https://www.aacounty.org/system/files/webform/cc_legislative_testimony/88026/donwellerwritten.docx

Timestamp	First name	Last name	City	State	Zip Code	Are you representing yourself?	If no, what organization or whom do you represent?	Legislation	Position	Remarks	Attachments
2026-03-19 4:01:46	Joyce	Rosencranz	MILLERSVILLE	MD	21108	No	President, ILCA,	Bill No. 2-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 6	Support	<p>We respectfully request that as a minimum, upzoning of this parcel be delayed until the following conditions are met:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Environmental Protection: The Jabez Branch watershed overlay must be formally adopted to ensure that thermal pollution from stormwater is adequately addressed. Although required, the County's stormwater standards do not provide the protection REQUIRED by Jabez Branch. Until this action is implemented, the only way to meet the Plan 2040 commitment is to NOT upzone and develop more land in its watershed. - Agricultural Preservation: The County has lost most of its farms, including the Dairy Farm that hosted the only local large animal 4-H venue. Those remaining along RT3 need to be placed into agricultural preservation. - Traffic Analysis: A thorough determination of the FACTUAL impacts on traffic for Route 3, Route 175 and the connecting 2-lane Millersville and other roads must be conducted. - Alternative Acquisition: The County should seriously consider purchasing the parcel as part of the DRP South Shore/Odenton trails connection ACROSS Rt3, and the State Highway Administration's proposed trail along Route 3 South. HOW will the trails traverse Rt3 SAFELY? What does DRP currently say about this PLANNED connection? To date there is no response. <p>Thank you for considering these points for the Council meeting.</p>	
2026-03-19 4:52:28	Heather	Tompkins	Millersville	Md	21108	Yes		Bill No. 2-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 6	No position	<p>I am only in support of Amendment #9 in relation to restoring RLD zoning for Parcel 357 being put forth by Councilwoman Rodvien. Please see attached document for my testimony, Thank you</p>	https://www.aacounty.org/system/files/webform/cc_legislative_testimony/88033/constituent-heather-tompkins-testimony-in-support-of-restoring-rl-d-zoning-for-parcel-357.pdf
2026-03-19 6:36:18	Scott	Blacketter	Millersville	MD	21108	Yes		Bill No. 2-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 6	No position	<p>I support Amendment #9, and retaining Parcel 357 as RLD. Conversions of parcels in this area to commercial are unwelcomed money grabs by local developers. Please slow the damage to our historic community exemplified by the rapid overdevelopment of Rt. 3.</p>	

Timestamp	First name	Last name	City	State	Zip Code	Are you representing yourself?	If no, what organization or whom do you represent?	Legislation	Position	Remarks	Attachments
2026-03-19 10:10:05	Maribeth	Love	Millersville	MD	21108	Yes		Bill No. 2-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 6	Oppose	<p>I have lived at 881 Cecil Ave, in Old Millersville for 40 years.</p> <p>I support this amendment to retain RLD zoning for 679 Rt 3 N.</p> <p>I have participated in the reviews and constructing of the Region 6 plans and this upzoning process. I have provided written and oral comments to the SAC and the PAB, as well as to this council.</p> <p>The community and SAC suggestions have been evident as to the traffic, environmental and community quality of life and safety issues involved in the upzoning of this parcel.</p> <p>The council unanimously voted to preserve the RLD zoning, but was overturned by a off process, back door meeting by the lawyer representing the commercial property owner, that turned council members from the constituency support and support of the Regional representative.</p> <p>This requested zoning change has a history with a very clear county processed decision. In 2021, application for a variance to commercially develop this partial as part of a strip mall was denied by OPZ. The follow on appeal was dismissed by the AACo administrative officer. The decision noted that the owner was not under undue hardship, the variance would be for convenience, not a necessity. The owners knew the zoning and the highway limitations when they purchased the parcels, and they have had the ability to modify their plans to find reasonable uses within the noted constraints.</p> <p>If you are a developer looking for a simple justification for up-zoning, the story presented on March 2nd to move the commercial use property entrance sounds good. It is not and if you are an elected legislator representing your constituency, you need to consider all elements of land use, community safety and environmental protection, as well as respect of your fellow legislative leaders representing the needs of their Region.</p> <p>In accordance with the 2024 plan and goal for Region 6, pass the amendment and preserve the rural agricultural, rural residential and AACo Recreation and Parks location in the Region 6 gateway to the greenway.</p>	

Timestamp	First name	Last name	City	State	Zip Code	Are you representing yourself?	If no, what organization or whom do you represent?	Legislation	Position	Remarks	Attachments
2026-03-19 10:35:00	Gretchen	Bandy	Millersville	MD	21108	Yes		Bill No. 2-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 6	Support	<p>I support Councilwoman Lisa Rodvien's introduction of an amendment to the Anne Arundel County Council to restore Residential Low Density (RLD) zoning to Parcel 357.</p> <p>I have previously expressed several points of concern regarding the up-zoning of this parcel to C2 commercial. These points are in order of concern, but, quite frankly, it was difficult to place an order since all are of grave concern.</p> <p>-Traffic and Safety: To increase commercial development at one of the most dangerous intersections in the county is absurd. It will also increase traffic and risk on Millersville Road and Route 175. I worry everyday about crossing the road to my mailbox on Millersville Road and especially about my elder neighbor (s) doing so. The trail users, street cyclists and others are already in danger not only on Millersville Road but also on Cecil Ave, Severn Chapel Road, and St. Stephens Church Road as more vehicles, both local and through traffic, avoid Rt. 3 and especially the Rt. 3/Millersville Road/Rt. 175 intersection. To exacerbate this dangerous situation would be beyond reproach.</p> <p>-Jabez Branch Protection: It is impossible to believe that rezoning to allow intense, high-density development will not further impact the already endangered Jabez Branch. Even if millions more are spent (which will not be recouped financially by the county from the development), we all know from past experience and promises that this will be a setback for the Branch, Severn Run, Severn River, and the Bay.</p> <p>-Rural and Historic Nature of Millersville: The county seems bent on destroying the nature of Millersville Road bit by bit. The plans for Millersville Park are a catastrophe in the works. The traffic, especially at the beginning and end of schooldays and church-days, is sometimes half a mile or more. People dangerously "play chicken" going around these long backups. It's already very scary and frustrating and will get worse with the park. Between the unsightliness of a commercial development, the further traffic congestion, and other impacts, those of us who sought out living here, have stayed here for generations, bicycle, stroll, and recreate here, school and worship here, and otherwise enjoy this special road and community, would receive an extremely sad, unfortunate, and unnecessary change to this uniquely wonderful place, if these changes were to go forward.</p> <p>I have many more concerns and could add more detail to those above, but I'll stop here in hopes this will actually be read. Many of my neighbors are offering more lengthy, well researched and documented information that I hope will be read.</p> <p>Thank you for your time.</p>	

Timestamp	First name	Last name	City	State	Zip Code	Are you representing yourself?	If no, what organization or whom do you represent?	Legislation	Position	Remarks	Attachments
2026-03-19 10:40:32	Diane	Gomezjurado	Millersville	MD	21108	Yes		Bill No. 2-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 6	Support	<p>Parcel 357 at the corner of Rt 3 and Millersville Rd should not be changed from RLD to C2. It should remain RLD.</p> <p>This decision ignores the clear negative fiscal, traffic, traffic safety, and environmental impacts our community raised throughout the planning process. The process by which Mr. Volke made his motion at the last minute was technically legal, but did not provide the community the opportunity to comment. There was no mechanism for the public to know that the amendment was being reconsidered. The community has consistently voiced its opposition throughout this process. It is concerning that the input of one individual, Steve Hyatt, outweighed the concerns of the majority.</p> <p>- The County has long prided itself on its commitment to public participation and transparency throughout the regional planning process. The March 2 County Council meeting's 4 to 3 vote to restore C2 zoning on the RLD parcel is a blow to the integrity of our regional planning process. The decision to prioritize the secret voices of one late-arriving stakeholder over the documented community concerns is a betrayal of that promise. We only learned that there was only one stakeholder – Steve Hyatt, by emailing Mr. Volke. It was Mr. Steve Hyatt representing the property owners.</p> <p>- It is clear that in this scenario, the developer is the only winner.</p> <p>- The assertion that parcel 357 is essential for the C2 development is inaccurate. SHA's comments on the owner's development plans did not prohibit using the C2 parcel, although they necessitated close coordination with the State regarding site ingress and egress. Notably, the applicant has not attempted to revise the project footprint to comply with the C2 parcel constraints</p> <p>- Maintaining RLD zoning for Parcel 357 is essential for land-use compatibility with the neighboring rural districts. C2 zoning represents an inappropriate intensity of use that threatens both the agricultural viability of the area and the established low-impact intent for this intersection. Furthermore, the lack of public water and sewer infrastructure makes intensive commercial development physically and environmentally unfeasible for this site</p> <p>- This rezoning will result in a net negative for our environment, public safety, and fiscal health. It is clear that in this scenario, the developer is the only winner. Shame on you for disregarding the extensive outreach and data already established in favor of a last-minute reversal.</p> <p>- To Councilmember Pickard: as a candidate for County Executive, your position on this issue is especially concerning. Voters expect a leader who supports transparency and protects the community's future, not one who bends to developer pressure.</p>	

Timestamp	First name	Last name	City	State	Zip Code	Are you representing yourself?	If no, what organization or whom do you represent?	Legislation	Position	Remarks	Attachments
Bill No. 4-26, as amended											
2026-03-14 11:45:01	Tripp	Fulton	Annapolis	MD	21401	No	Curt & Christina	Bill No. 4-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 8	Support	I'm writing in support of Council Application No. CZ-R8-DSV-0601, which application seeks an amendment to rezone property owned by Curt and Christina Schwab from RA to RLD. The Schwab Property is a 15-acre parcel improved with 2 single family homes. The rezoning would allow the Schwabs to re-establish a formerly-existing lot line on the property, returning the property back to two (2) pre-existing parcels, each about 7- and 8- acres in size, so each existing home can sit on its own lot. The Schwab property was 1 of 2 properties in all of Region 8 for which the Planning Advisory Board recommended a change in zoning consistent with the applicants' (the Schwabs') request. Please support this amendment!	
2026-03-16 2:12:10	Anil "Neil"	Sehgal	Davidsonville	Maryland	21035	Yes		Bill No. 4-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 8	Oppose	<p>We oppose Richard & Shannon Garden's, request for a zoning change of 2404 Jennieville Dr., Davidsonville, Md 21035 from RA to RLD as it would negatively alter the characteristics of our neighborhood, our street, and our homestead's tranquility, sightlines and scenery from open rural land to a more densely packed residential development. These are very qualities the Rural Agriculture (RA) Zoning and the Rural Development Policy Area were established to protect, centering on preserving agricultural land, scenic roads, and the rural character of Region 8 - Davidsonville.</p> <p>We are in agreement with the county's Stakeholder Advisory Committees and The Office of Planning and Zoning rejections of the Garden's request to rezone their property to RLD zoning as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is inconsistent with the RA zoning that has been well established in this area • It is inconsistent with the Plan2040 Policy BE2.1 which is to maintain limited development patterns in the Rural Development Policy Area 	https://www.aacounty.org/system/files/webform/cc_legislative_testimony/87617/2404-garden-rezone-opposition.pdf

Timestamp	First name	Last name	City	State	Zip Code	Are you representing yourself?	If no, what organization or whom do you represent?	Legislation	Position	Remarks	Attachments
2026-03-16 2:34:34	Anil "Neil"	Sehgal	DAVIDSONVILLI	Maryland	21035	Yes		Bill No. 4-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 8	Oppose	<p>We oppose Change ID CZ-R8-DSV-0600, 536 West Central Avenue, Davidsonville, requested zoning change from SB – Small Business to C3 – General Commercial.</p> <p>It would negatively alter the characteristics of the rural area and drastically increase traffic and congestion at the corner of Riva Rd. and Central Avenue. Left turns from Riva Rd. to Central Ave. westbound already have restricted times due to traffic and safety concerns. Any increase in business density and size will dramatically make matters worse.</p> <p>Any increase in business density and change in business type, especially along Riva Rd. will have a dramatically negative look and feel of the area.</p> <p>We ask you to deny the change.</p> <p>Please keep South County rural.</p>	https://www.aacounty.org/system/files/webform/cc_legislative_testimony/87618/536-west-central-avenue-opposition.pdf
2026-03-16 10:54:15	Paul	Lagana	Davidsonville	MD	21035	Yes		Bill No. 4-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 8	Oppose	<p>As a 48 year resident of Anne Arundel County and a 30 year resident of the Ashley Community I strongly oppose the Council's proposed upzoning at 2404 Jennieville Drive. Bill 4-26 (Region 8 Comprehensive Zoning), Amendment No. 3.</p> <p>This would change the property from RA (Rural Agricultural) to RLD (Residential Low Density), allowing more houses at the end of Jennieville Drive.</p> <p>This would double or more than double the homes and traffic at the end of Jennieville Drive: more homes, more traffic.</p> <p>County planning staff have recommended no change, meaning they believe the property should remain RA and I agree with them. Despite that recommendation, Amendment 3 will be offered by Councilmember Shannon Leadbetter on behalf of the property owner to make this RA-to-RLD change.</p> <p>Ashland Drive, the main road in, can barely support the traffic we have now as shown by the deteriorating asphalt.</p> <p>With more traffic entering and leaving the community turning onto Central Avenue 214 has become challenging if not dangerous. Traffic often backs up at the stop sign causing drivers to make bad decisions they normally would not.</p> <p>Please preserve our Rural environment which is the reason we chose to live in Ashley.</p> <p>Thank You Paul Lagana</p>	
2026-03-17 15:11:36	John	Perry	Davidsonville	Md	21035	Yes		Bill No. 4-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 8	Oppose	<p>I oppose Amendment 3 to Bill 4-26 for 2404 Jennieville Dr. County planning staff have recommended that this parcel remain rural agricultural and not changed to residential- I agree (I also live in Ashely)</p>	

Timestamp	First name	Last name	City	State	Zip Code	Are you representing yourself?	If no, what organization or whom do you represent?	Legislation	Position	Remarks	Attachments
2026-03-17 15:50:02	William and Mary Patricia	Howe	Davidsonville	MD	21035	Yes		Bill No. 4-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 8	Oppose	<p>We are homeowners of 3560 Ashland Drive located in the Ashley neighborhood in Davidsonville. We just became aware of a Re-zoning request and we respectfully ask you to oppose Amendment No. 3 to Bill 4-26 for 2404 Jennieville Drive (CZ-R8-DSV-0016) which is adjacent to our neighborhood but uses Ashland Drive and Jennieville Drive in the Ashley neighborhood as access.</p> <p>This amendment would change the property's zoning from RA (Rural Agricultural) to RLD (Residential Low Density). RA permits approximately one home per 20 acres, while RLD allows roughly one home per 5 acres. This would enable additional homes to be built at the end of Jennieville Drive and would highly increase the daily traffic through the Ashley neighborhood, which was planned, approved, and sold as a fully built-out rural-edge community. Even a small number of new houses could significantly increase traffic on Jennieville and Ashland Drives along with the entrance at Central Avenue (214). A zoning change is detrimental to the neighborhood because it would allow additional building not only for the current resident, but any future landowner of that property.</p> <p>County planning staff recommends that this parcel remain RA, and we urge you to support that professional recommendation. The recommendation from the Table of Final Recommended Comprehensive Zoning Changes County Council document dated 1.5.26 stated regarding this request:</p> <p>"A change to RLD zoning is not consistent with the RA zoning that has been established in this area and is inconsistent with the *Plan2040 Policy BE2.1 which is to maintain limited development patterns in the Rural Development Policy Area and limit increase in density in the rural sewer service area."</p> <p>Plan2040 and the Region 8 planning work emphasize preserving rural character, protecting larger open parcels where possible, and limiting new development and traffic in established rural neighborhoods like ours. Upzoning this property now would undermine those commitments, reward a request for more intensive use on land that was purchased with RA zoning in place, and permanently change the character of Jennieville Drive and the Ashley neighborhood.</p> <p>Please keep 2404 Jennieville zoned entirely RA and vote NO on Amendment 3 to Bill 4-26.</p> <p>Respectfully, Bill and Mary Pat Howe 3560 Ashland Drive Davidsonville</p>	

Timestamp	First name	Last name	City	State	Zip Code	Are you representing yourself?	If no, what organization or whom do you represent?	Legislation	Position	Remarks	Attachments
2026-03-17 15:55:56	Candace	Ridgway	Davidsonville	Maryland	21035	Yes		Bill No. 4-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 8	Oppose	<p>I respectfully ask you to oppose Amendment 3 to Bill 4-26 for 2404 Jennieville Drive (CZ-R8-DSV-0016). As a long-time resident of the Ashley neighborhood, I (and my neighbors) treasure the character of Ashley as a rural-edge community, made possible in part by the existing RA zoning of the property in question. Changing the zoning to RLD would markedly increase the number of homes that could be built on the property, increasing daily traffic through the Ashley neighborhood, which was planned, approved and sold as a fully-built-out rural-edge community. Adding new housing now could significantly increase traffic through the neighborhood, adversely affecting the existing robust wildlife habitat as well as the safety of children playing, people walking their pets and families and friends enjoying the quiet neighborhood streets.</p> <p>County planning staff have recommended that this parcel remain RA, and I urge you to support that professional recommendation. Plan 2040 and the Region 8 planning work emphasize preserving rural character, protecting larger open parcels where possible, and limiting new development and traffic in established rural-edge neighborhoods like ours. Upzoning this property now would undermine those commitments and permanently change the character of Ashley. The property in question was acquired as RA and should not be allowed to be changed now to the detriment of the neighborhood.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>	
2026-03-17 20:06:39	Michael	Brewer	Davidsonville	MD	21035	Yes		Bill No. 4-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 8	Oppose	<p>County planning staff have recommended that amendment #1, #2, #3 and #4 to Bill 4-26 remain as zoned, and we are asking you to support your professional staff in opposing this zoning change.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Plan2040 and the Region 8 planning work emphasize preserving rural character, protecting larger open parcels where possible, and limiting new development and traffic in established rural neighborhoods like ours. The County Planning staff has recommended no change to the zoning as noted in amendments to Bill No 4-26. <p>Furthermore, amendment #3 to Bill No 4-26 is not posted/available on the County website, and therefore unavailable for public review before the meeting on March 19. I live in Ashley neighborhood and am concerned about the impact due to amendment #3, but am unable to access the amendment on the county website.</p> <p>Only amendments #1 and #2 are posted on the county website, and I oppose both of them for the same reasons.</p>	

Timestamp	First name	Last name	City	State	Zip Code	Are you representing yourself?	If no, what organization or whom do you represent?	Legislation	Position	Remarks	Attachments
2026-03-18 1:50:58	Manuel	Santos	Davidsonville	MD	21035	Yes		Bill No. 4-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 8	Oppose	I live on Hobbits Lane in the Ashley neighborhood in Davidsonville, and I respectfully ask you to oppose Amendment No. 3 to Bill 4-26 for 2404 Jennieville Drive (CZ-R8-DSV-0016). This amendment would change the property's zoning from RA (Rural Agricultural) to RLD (Residential Low Density). RA permits approximately one home per 20 acres, while RLD allows roughly one home per 5 acres. This would enable additional homes to be built at the end of Jennieville Drive and would send more daily traffic through the Ashley neighborhood, which was planned, approved, and sold as a fully built-out rural-edge community. Even a small number of new houses could significantly increase trips on Jennieville Drive and change its character. County planning staff have recommended that this parcel remain RA, and I urge you to support that professional recommendation. Plan2040 and the Region 8 planning work emphasize preserving rural character, protecting larger open parcels where possible, and limiting new development and traffic in established rural neighborhoods like ours. Upzoning this property now would undermine those commitments, reward a request for more intensive use on land that was purchased with RA zoning in place, and permanently change the character of Jennieville Drive and Ashley. Please keep 2404 Jennieville zoned entirely RA and vote NO on Amendment 3 to Bill 4-26.	

Timestamp	First name	Last name	City	State	Zip Code	Are you representing yourself?	If no, what organization or whom do you represent?	Legislation	Position	Remarks	Attachments
2026-03-18 9:35:31	Skylar	Jump	Davidsonville	MD	21035	Yes		Bill No. 4-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 8	Oppose	<p>I am a resident of Ashley neighborhood in Davidsonville, and I respectfully ask you to oppose Amendment No. 3 to Bill 4-26 for 2404 Jennieville Dr (CZ-R8-DSV-0016).</p> <p>This amendment would change the property's zoning from RA (Rural Agricultural) to RLD (Residential Low Density). RA permits approximately one home/20 acres, while RLD allows roughly one home/5 acres. This would enable additional homes to be built at the end of Jennieville Dr and would send more daily traffic through our neighborhood, which was planned, approved, and sold as a fully built-out rural edge community. Even a small number of houses could significantly increase trips on Jennieville Dr (and therefore Ashland Dr) and change its character.</p> <p>County planning staff has recommended that this parcel remain RA, and I urge you to support that professional recommendation. Plan2040 and the Region 8 planning work emphasize preserving rural character, protecting larger open parcels where possible, and limiting new development and traffic in established rural neighborhoods like ours. Upzoning this property now would undermine these commitments, reward a request for more intensive use on land that was purchased with RA zoning in place, and permanently change the character of Jennieville Dr and Ashley neighborhood.</p> <p>Please keep 2402 Jennieville Rd zoned entirely RA and vote NO on Amendment 3 to Bill 4-26.</p>	

Timestamp	First name	Last name	City	State	Zip Code	Are you representing yourself?	If no, what organization or whom do you represent?	Legislation	Position	Remarks	Attachments
										<p>DACA opposition to two zoning change requests</p> <p>Davidsonville Area Civic Association Opposes the following requests for rezoning: CZ-R8-DSV0601 865 Governor Bridge Road, Davidsonville, MD 21035 and CZ-R8-DSV0016 2404 Jennieville Drive, Davidsonville, MD 21035</p> <p>The Davidsonville Area Civic Association (DACA) supports the Office of Planning and Zoning's (OPZ) and the SAC decision not to rezone these two properties and asks that you do not vote for the amendments for the zoning requests.</p> <p>DACA's opposition of these requests are based on the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.The policy of Rural Agricultural ("RA") zoning was implemented, in no small part, to stem the growth of residential housing in South County by preserving agricultural properties. However, the provision of "Family Conveyance," while established with good intent, was abused by developers who conveyed parcels to family members with the understanding that the parcels would be sold to anyone for profit. In 2005 the County was forced to remove the Family Conveyance provision from the code to stop this practice. 2.The Jennieville Road request is a present-day example of the practice that the county was forced to cease, but under different rules. Once subdivided, the property, with or without a home, can be sold for a considerable price and profit. In essence, it is "Family Conveyance" except on a case-by-case basis. 3.The Governor Bridge Road request is to subdivide the parcel based on a 20+ year old merger of two properties under one owner. If the amendment passes, granting the rezoning has the potential of allowing 3 lots, which could serve only to enrich the owner, and may meet the definition of illegal "spot zoning." 4.These requests, if granted, both set a bad precedent as there are larger parcels near both properties and the owners of those parcels could request rezoning on the basis of the rezoning of these nearby properties, causing a cascade of rezoning that could erode the character of Davidsonville. 5.In addition, the OPZ and the Stakeholders Advisory Committee justification for not recommending rezoning either parcel is on point: "A change to RLD zoning is not consistent with the RA zoning that has been established in this area and is inconsistent with the Plan2040 Policy BE2.1 which is to maintain limited development patterns in the Rural Development Policy Area and limit increases in density in the rural sewer service area." The recent moratorium on development in a portion of our county because of wastewater capacity issues is a significant example of the fragility of our infrastructure, in particular that of wastewater processing capacity. Plan 2040's and OPZ's concern over the increases in the rural wastewater load should not be casually dismissed. 	

Timestamp	First name	Last name	City	State	Zip Code	Are you representing yourself?	If no, what organization or whom do you represent?	Legislation	Position	Remarks	Attachments
2026-03-18 13:18:59	Bill	Howe	Davidsonville	Maryland	21035	Yes		Bill No. 4-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 8	Oppose	<p>Dear Anne Arundel County Council,</p> <p>My Name is Bill Howe, I reside at 3560 Ashland Drive, having moved here in 2006. I am writing as a member of the Ashley 2 HOA Board of Directors representing the residents of Ashley 2.</p> <p>If I may, I'd respectfully ask, "What changed"? What is the impetus for this requested initiative to upzone this parcel?</p> <p>Rezoning this property will increase traffic along Ashley's Jennieville Road and Ashland Drive as well as increase the difficulty of turning right or left onto RT 214. Both the property owner requesting a zoning change and residents of Ashley knew (and made buying decisions) knowing these properties were fully and completely built out. Again, what changed?</p> <p>Anne Arundel counties 2040 development plan aligns with a no rezone, "keep Davidsonville rural" approach. What changed? Revising this parcel's zoning will, I believe, open pandora's box and set precedent for additional requests all under the auspices of "But you granted this for 2404 Jennieville"</p> <p>I appreciate the opportunity to address you as a group; thank you for your service to the County and, once again, I respectfully request you please keep 2404 Jennieville zoned entirely RA and vote NO on Amendment 3 to Bill 4-26.</p>	
2026-03-18 19:43:01	Leslie 'Les'	Edgar	Davidsonville	MD	21035	Yes		Bill No. 4-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 8	Oppose	<p>Oppose Amendment 3 gto Bill 4.26. See attached details.</p>	<p>https://www.aacounty.org/system/files/webform/cc_legislative_testimony/88004/bill-4-26-against-ashley-iii-zoning-proposal-edgar.pdf</p>

Timestamp	First name	Last name	City	State	Zip Code	Are you representing yourself?	If no, what organization or whom do you represent?	Legislation	Position	Remarks	Attachments
2026-03-18 20:53:51	Ryan	Kay	Davidsonville	MD	21035	Yes		Bill No. 4-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 8	Oppose	<p>Dear AA County Council:</p> <p>I am a resident of the Ashley neighborhood (3542 Ashland Dr) in Davidsonville, MD and I respectfully request you to oppose Amendment No.3 to Bill 4-26 for 2404 Jennieville Drive (CZ-8-DSV-0016).</p> <p>My wife and I love our home and our neighborhood. The rural nature of the surrounding area is one of the biggest reasons why we moved here. We are looking forward to raising our two children here, enjoying the woods, the space and the peace. This amendment would change the property's zoning from RA (Rural Agricultural) to RLD (Residential Low Density), allowing more homes to be built, bringing more traffic and changing the rural character of our area in a negative way.</p> <p>County planning staff have recommended that this parcel remain RA and I urge you to support that professional recommendation. Plan 2040 and the Region 8 planning work emphasize preserving the rural nature of this region, protecting larger open parcels of land where possible and limiting new development and traffic in established rural communities like ours. Upzoning this property now would undermine those commitments.</p> <p>Please keep 2404 Jennieville zoned entirely RA and vote NO on Amendment 3 to Bill 4-26. Thank you.</p>	

Timestamp	First name	Last name	City	State	Zip Code	Are you representing yourself?	If no, what organization or whom do you represent?	Legislation	Position	Remarks	Attachments
2026-03-18 22:15:36	Vicki	Kontson	Davidsonville	Maryland	21035	Yes		Bill No. 4-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 8	Oppose	<p>I am a resident of the Ashley neighborhood in Davidsonville. Since 1991 we have resided at 3517 Ashland Drive. I respectfully ask you to oppose Amendment No. 3 to Bill 4-26 for 2404 Jennieville Drive (CZ-R8-DSV-0016).</p> <p>This amendment would change the property's zoning from RA (Rural Agricultural) to RLD (Residential Low Density). RA permits approximately one home per 20 acres, while RLD allows roughly one home per 5 acres. This would enable additional homes to be built at the end of Jennieville Drive and would send more daily traffic through the Ashley neighborhood, which was planned, approved, and sold as a fully built-out rural-edge community. Even a small number of new houses could significantly increase trips on Jennieville Drive and change its character. County planning staff have recommended that this parcel remain RA, and I urge you to support that professional recommendation. Plan2040 and the Region 8 planning work emphasize preserving rural character, protecting larger open parcels where possible, and limiting new development and traffic in established rural neighborhoods like ours. Upzoning this property now would undermine those commitments, reward a request for more intensive use on land that was purchased with RA zoning in place, and permanently change the character of Jennieville Drive and Ashley.</p> <p>Please keep 2404 Jennieville zoned entirely RA and vote NO on Amendment 3 to Bill 4-26</p>	
2026-03-19 9:19:03	Susan Leigh	Hoburg	Davidsonville	Md	21035	Yes		Bill No. 4-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 8	Oppose	<p>I oppose amendment 3 regarding Cz-R8-DSV-0016, 2404 Jennieville Drive as it has potential to add traffic/hi-density problems to this semi-rural area.</p>	
2026-03-19 9:22:03	Curtis	Fetty	DAVIDSONVILLI	MD	21035	Yes		Bill No. 4-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Comprehensive Zoning – Region 8	Oppose	<p>I oppose Amendment 3/CZ-R8-DSV-0016, 2404 Jennieville Drive. I respectfully ask you to oppose Amendment No. 3 to Bill 4-26 for 2404 Jennieville Drive because such development would increase vehicular traffic on Ashland Drive, increase the amount of litter strewn along Ashland Drive, increase the danger to pedestrians along Ashland Drive and permanently change the character of the neighborhood.</p> <p>Please keep 2404 Jennieville zoned entirely RA and vote NO on Amendment 3 to Bill 4-26.</p>	
<p>Bill No. 9-26, as amended</p>											

Timestamp	First name	Last name	City	State	Zip Code	Are you representing yourself?	If no, what organization or whom do you represent?	Legislation	Position	Remarks	Attachments
2026-03-14 11:03:38	Melvin	Petty	Millersville	MD	21108	Yes		Bill No. 9-26: (As Amended) AN ORDINANCE concerning: Zoning – Conditional Uses – Battery Energy Storage Systems	Support	I support this amendment. Maintaining RLD zoning for Parcel 357 is essential for land-use compatibility with the neighboring rural districts. C2 zoning represents an inappropriate intensity of use that threatens both the agricultural viability of the area and the established low-impact intent for this intersection. Furthermore, the lack of public water and sewer infrastructure makes intensive commercial development physically and environmentally unfeasible for this site - This rezoning will result in a net negative for our environment, public safety, and fiscal health. It is clear that in this scenario, the developer is the only winner. Shame on you for disregarding the extensive outreach and data already established in favor of a last-minute reversal.	
Bill No. 14-26											
2026-03-17 10:38:09	Sally	Honor	Arnold	MD	21012	No	Magothy River Land Trust	Bill No. 14-26: AN ORDINANCE concerning: Purchasing – Acquisition of real property by gift	Support	Members of the board of the Magothy River Land Trust have worked with the Loooper family for over fifteen years to support the donation of the 240 acres that are now ready to be gifted to the County. The Loooper family has been a generous friend to the County and this donation is living proof of their dedication to preserving their undeveloped land in perpetuity for County residents to enjoy. We are thrilled to support the acquisition of this property and look forward to working with the County to enhance its accessibility to all residents.	
Prior testimony											
2026-03-03 11:06:14	Janice	John	Annapolis	MD	21409	Yes		Prior testimony from the 3/2/26 council meeting	Oppose		https://www.aacounty.org/system/files/webform/cc_legislative_testimony/86349/2026-3-2_testimony-aaco.pdf