FOR WEB Legislative Testimony Submitted (July 21, 2025)

Гimestamp	First name	Last name	City	State	Zip Code	Are you representing yourself?	If no, what organization or whom do you represent?	Legislation	Position	Remarks
Bill No. 61-25							representi			
2025-07-18 18:50:41	Amy	Morales	Annapolis	MD	21401	Yes		Bill No. 61-25: AN ORDINANCE concerning: Epping Forest Special Community Benefit District – Approval of Loan and Assignment Agreement	Oppose	As a resident of Epping Forest community, I am w county bill 61-25 which secures a loan for a comm marina expansion and the associated loan was no scope, scale or fiscal impact, places an unfair and community for the benefit of a few boat owners, Is financial safeguards, hampers ongoing efforts to f our community to public water, as well as impactin needs. Our community needs more time to better entering into such a burdensome debt.
2025-07-18 18:55:19	Lesley	Eschinger	Annapolis	MD	21401	Yes		Bill No. 61-25: AN ORDINANCE concerning: Epping Forest Special Community Benefit District – Approval of Loan and Assignment Agreement	Oppose	 Dear Councilmembers, As a resident of Anne Arundel County and a memurge you to vote NO on Bill 61-25, the Epping Forflawed for the following reasons: 1. Misuse of Public Funds: The loan would finance over 500 property owners. Our SCBD fund was coinfrastructure—not to subsidize private recreation dangerous precedent for misusing public revenues. 2. Budget Impact: The marina loan would consumbudget, straining our ability to fund core services. 3. Revenue Shortfall: Projected marina revenues rely on unsustainable assumptions about slip rent restricting rentals to residents only, further limiting 4. Higher Priorities: Our aging water system and on infrastructure urgently need funding. Diverting resirresponsible, especially amid economic uncertair 5. Risky Loan Terms: The loan includes a \$996,60 secured funding plan. By then, the marina will be owe two-thirds of its cost—with no transferable as 6. Environmental and Safety Concerns: The proprise symmer safety, disrupts small-craft boating, and and location. 7. Lack of Alternatives and Transparency: Only or with no serious consideration of more sustainable 8. Insufficient Community Support: The loan requirapproval per AAC Code § 4-7-201(a). With over 5 votes falls short. 9. Voting Irregularities: Several ballots were destrate integrity of the vote. 10. Premature Legislation: A key variance needed bids have come in near the \$1.5M budget. The fir project remain uncertain. This proposal is not ready—and even if it were, it needs of the many. Please protect our community least, delay action until the legal, financial, and plane.
										Sincerely,
										Concerned Citizen
2025-07-18 18:56:57	Jose	Morales	Annapolis	MD	21401	Yes		Bill No. 61-25: AN ORDINANCE concerning: Epping Forest Special Community Benefit District – Approval of Loan and Assignment Agreement	Oppose	As a resident of Epping Forest community, I OPP- community marina expansion project. This marin was not well-communicated or deliberated regard impact. It places an unfair and inequitable burden of a few boat owners, lacks internal controls and of hampers ongoing efforts to fund a much-needed public water, as well as impacting funding of other needs more time to better consider options and p burdensome debt.

Attachments

m writing to request that you OPPOSE ommunity marina expansion project. This as not well-communicated regarding options, and inequitable burden on the entire rs, lacks internal controls and documented to fund a much-needed project to connect acting funding of other community-wide etter consider options and priorities before

nember of the Epping Forest community, I Forest Loan Approval. This proposal is

ance a new marina benefiting only 79 of as created to equitably support shared tion for a few. Approving this loan sets a nue mechanisms.

sume roughly one-third of our annual SCBD ces.

ues fall at least \$50,000 short annually and rental demand. The property is zoned MA1, ting income.

nd deteriorating roads and stormwater resources to a luxury amenity is fiscally rtainty.

6,606 balloon payment in year 11 with no be halfway through its lifespan and we'll still e asset.

roposed marina expansion threatens and poses environmental risks due to its size

ly one costly proposal has been presented, able or affordable options.

equires a majority of property owners' er 500 property owners, 115 affirmative

estroyed or mishandled, casting doubt on

ded for the marina is under appeal, and no e final scope, cost, and feasibility of the

e, it prioritizes the wants of a few over the inity and vote NO on Bill 61-25. At the very d planning uncertainties are resolved.

PPOSE Bill 61-25 regarding a loan for a arina expansion and the associated loan jarding options, scope, scale or fiscal den on the entire community for the benefit nd documented financial safeguards, ed project to connect our community to ther community-wide needs. Our community id priorities before entering into such a

mestamp	First name	Last name	City	State	Zip Code	Are you representing yourself?	If no, what organization or whom do you represent?	Legislation	Position	Remarks	Attachments
2025-07-18 20:44:58 Peter	Peter	Eschinger	Annapolis	MD	21401	Yes		Bill No. 61-25: AN ORDINANCE concerning: Epping Forest Special Community Benefit District – Approval of Loan and Assignment Agreement	Oppose	Dear Councilmembers,	
										As a resident of Anne Arundel County and a member of the Epping Forest community, I urge you to vote NO on Bill 61-25, the Epping Forest Loan Approval. This proposal is flawed for the following reasons:	
										1. Misuse of Public Funds: The loan would finance a new marina benefiting only 79 of over 500 property owners. Our SCBD fund was created to equitably support shared infrastructure—not to subsidize private recreation for a few. Approving this loan sets a dangerous precedent for misusing public revenue mechanisms.	
										2. Budget Impact: The marina loan would consume roughly one-third of our annual SCBD budget, straining our ability to fund core services.	
										3. Revenue Shortfall: Projected marina revenues fall at least \$50,000 short annually and rely on unsustainable assumptions about slip rental demand. The property is zoned MA1, restricting rentals to residents only, further limiting income.	
										4. Higher Priorities: Our aging water system and deteriorating roads and stormwater infrastructure urgently need funding. Diverting resources to a luxury amenity is fiscally irresponsible, especially amid economic uncertainty.	
										5. Risky Loan Terms: The loan includes a \$996,606 balloon payment in year 11 with no secured funding plan. By then, the marina will be halfway through its lifespan and we'll sti owe two-thirds of its cost—with no transferable asset.	1
										6. Environmental and Safety Concerns: The proposed marina expansion threatens swimmer safety, disrupts small-craft boating, and poses environmental risks due to its size and location.	9
										7. Lack of Alternatives and Transparency: Only one costly proposal has been presented, with no serious consideration of more sustainable or affordable options.	
										8. Insufficient Community Support: The loan requires a majority of property owners' approval per AAC Code § 4-7-201(a). With over 500 property owners, 115 affirmative votes falls short.	
										9. Voting Irregularities: Several ballots were destroyed or mishandled, casting doubt on the integrity of the vote.	
										10. Premature Legislation: A key variance needed for the marina is under appeal, and no bids have come in near the \$1.5M budget. The final scope, cost, and feasibility of the project remain uncertain.	
										This proposal is not ready—and even if it were, it prioritizes the wants of a few over the needs of the many. Please protect our community and vote NO on Bill 61-25. At the very least, delay action until the legal, financial, and planning uncertainties are resolved.	
										Sincerely,	
										Peter Eschinger	
2025-07-18 20:55:35	Rachelle	Owen	Annapolis	MD	21401	Yes		Bill No. 61-25: AN ORDINANCE concerning: Epping Forest Special Community Benefit District – Approval of Loan and Assignment Agreement	Oppose	I am writing as a resident of Epping Forest to express my strong opposition to Bill No. 61- 25, which threatens to undermine the character, environmental safety, and financial stability of our unique waterfront community. Authorizing a large-scale project, like the proposed expanded marina, and long-term debt repayment through a County-enforced special assessment—without the required environmental review or public accountability— circumvents the very protections § 4-7-201(a) was designed to ensure. Additional reasons in opposition to this bill are included in the attached letter. Thank you.	
2025-07-20 13:01:37	Brian	Greenwald	Annapolis	MD	21401	Yes		Bill No. 61-25: AN ORDINANCE concerning: Epping Forest Special Community Benefit District – Approval of Loan and Assignment Agreement	Support	I am a resident of Epping Forest and write in strong support of the proposed Epping Forest Marina. This marina is a cornerstone of our community, offering safe and responsible access to the Severn River for boating, fishing, and family recreation. Our community is committed to protecting this resource, with ongoing investments including clean water practices, and sustainable management. I urge you to support the continued operation of the Epping Forest Marina. It reflects the best of our county's values - access to nature, responsible stewardship, and strong community ties. Thank you, Brian Greenwald, 313 Severn Road Epping Forest	

Timestamp	First name	Last name	City	State	Zip Code	Are you representing yourself?	If no, what organization or whom do you represent?	Legislation	Position	Remarks
2025-07-21 4:48:04	Delia	Deschaine	Annapolis	MD	21401	Yes		Bill No. 61-25: AN ORDINANCE concerning: Epping Forest Special Community Benefit District – Approval of Loan and Assignment Agreement	Oppose	[THIS TESTIMONY IS INTENDED TO BE ANON' PERSONAL IDENTITY INCLUDING MY EMAIL A DISCLOSURE]
										Dear Councilmembers,
										As a resident of Anne Arundel County and a memurge you to vote NO on Bill 61-25, the Epping For flawed for the following reasons:
										1.Benefits Few; Paid by All: The loan would finan- over 500 property owners. Our SCBD fund was c infrastructure—not to subsidize private recreation
										2. Higher Priorities: Our aging water system and or infrastructure urgently need funding. Diverting res irresponsible, especially amid economic uncertain
										3.Risky Loan Terms: The loan includes a \$996,60 secured funding plan. By then, the marina will be owe two-thirds of its cost—with no transferable as
										 Revenue Shortfall: Projected marina revenu and rely on unsustainable and unrealistic assump marina revenues are unable to cover the cost of funds from roads, stormwater management, and system.
										5. Reasonable alternatives exist: We could repla fraction of the cost.
										6. Premature Legislation: A key variance needed appeal. The scope, cost and timeline of approva Depending on the outcome of this appeal, new lo legislation may be futile. The County Council's re the myriad issues associated with this legislation
										7. Insufficient Community Support: The loan re approval per AAC Code § 4-7-201(a). With over § votes falls short.
										The County Council must apply the plain language which requires that all purchases of real property property owners in the SCBD. AAC Code § 4-7-2 attached to the land, is "real property" under MD demolish and replace an existing marina with a m it is the purchase of real property.
										The County Council's actions with respect to this property owners in Epping Forest, they will impace Community Benefit District in Anne Arundel Count
										Approving this loan legislation based on approval owners in the SCBD (versus the required 51%) w
										For the foregoing reasons, I respectfully request legislation, or the alternative, continue this hearin concluded.

Attachments

ONYMOUS; PLEASE REDACT MY IL ADDRESS FROM ANY PUBLIC

nember of the Epping Forest community, I Forest Loan Approval. This proposal is

ance a new marina benefiting only 79 of s created to equitably support shared tion for a few.

nd deteriorating roads and stormwater resources to a luxury amenity is fiscally tainty.

6,606 balloon payment in year 11 with no be halfway through its lifespan and we'll still e asset.

venues fall at least \$50,000 short annually umptions about slip rental demand. When of this new marina, we will have to take and our privately managed waterworks

place our existing marina in kind at a

led for the marina expansion is under oval of this project are far from certain. v loan legislation may be required or this s resources should not be used to consider ion at this time.

requires a majority of property owners' er 500 property owners, 115 affirmative

uage of the Anne Arundel County Code erty require a vote by the majority of the 7-201(a). A marina, which is permanently ID law. The proposed project here is to a much larger expanded marina. Therefore,

his loan legislation will not only impact bact property owners in every Special bunty.

val by less than 1/5th of the property) would set a dangerous precedent.

est that you vote "NO" on this loan aring until the variance appeal has