



APP. EXHIBIT# 1  
CASE: 2024-0037-V  
DATE: 5/10/24



APP. EXHIBIT# 2

CASE: 2024-0037-v

DATE: 5/16/24

GLP – Harmans 1 / BWI40045  
7549 Harmans Road  
Harmans, MD 21077  
B02421552



# Site Description



- Location Address: 7549 Harmans Road, Harmans, MD 21077
- Zoning: W3-Industrial Heavy

# Existing Code & Variance Request

## Existing Code Requirements

### Wall Sign:

NTE Wall height – top of wall; Combined area of all signs

NTE 12% of the area of each façade and the area of all signs does not exceed 400 sf.

Existing Modlo Sign Sq Ft: Approx. 20 sq ft

Proposed Square Footage: 19.05 sq ft

## Variance Request

The location is currently branded Modlo. Building currently has an address panel showing the location's address.

We are seeking a variance to replace the existing address panel and logo with GLP branded logo and address panel.

### Ideal Outcome:

Replace signage like for like.

Non-illuminated sign approvals for proposed signs.

# Site Description

The GLP warehouse is located in the County of Anne Arundel and is setback off approx. 1280.0 ft from Harmans Road.

visibility study



Site Plan  
1/10/2013



# Proposed Storefront – Represents Variance Application

Location is a warehouse with delivery bays. Drivers will need to be able to identify correct location by address and owner.

Building identifiers are essential for delivery accuracy, frequency and efficiencies.



2) Front of Building - South/West Facing - Existing  
Scale: 3/32 = 1'-0"



3) Front of Building - South/West Facing - Proposed  
Scale: 3/32 = 1'-0"

# Closing

We hope with our presentation that we've demonstrated the need for GLP to have its logo visible to drivers and patrons.

We believe that our application will maintain site identification and the current look in the area.

- (a) We feel the application does not produce an undesirable change or detriment. In fact, we hope to have demonstrated that it's within the character of the existing complex. We also feel it will make the complex safer by being visible in advance for operation.
- (b) We do not believe the variance is substantial and is the minimal requirement for safety and visibility.
- (c) We do not believe the approval will have an adverse effect on the neighborhood.