

2664 Riva Road, P.O. Box 6675 Annapolis, MD 21401 410-222-7450

Philip R. Hager Planning and Zoning Officer

September 5, 2017

Office of the Secretary Maryland Department of Planning Attn: David Dahlstrom, AICP 301 W. Preston St. Baltimore, Maryland 21201-2305

Ref: Annual Report Calendar Year 2016

Dear Mr. Dahlstrom:

The Anne Arundel County Planning Advisory Board approved the attached Annual Report for the Reporting Year 2016 as required under the Land Use Article on August 23, 2017. In addition this report has been filed with the local legislative body. In accordance with the requirement of Article §1-201(c) (6) we have provided all necessary information for the Plan Implementation and Development Process (5-Year Report) in Section IV for the period 2011-2016.

Should you have any questions and require additional information, please contact Margaret Kaii-Ziegler, Planning Administrator, Research and GIS Division at 410-222-7462 or mziegler@aacounty.org.

Sincerely,

Philip R. Hager

Planning and Zoning Officer

Attachment: 2016 Annual Report

cc: Steve Allan, Maryland Department of Planning Central & Southern Region

Steven R. Schuh, County Executive

Lynn Miller, Assistant Planning and Zoning Officer Chris Soldano, Assistant Planning and Zoning Officer

Margaret Kaii-Ziegler, Planning Administrator, Research and GIS Division

Chron. H:\MDP Annual Report\2017



MEMORANDUM

TO: John J. Grasso, Chairman

Jerry Walker, Vice Chairman Derek Fink, Councilman

Michael Anthony Peroutka, Councilman

Andrew C. Pruski, Councilman

Pete Smith, Councilman

Chris Trumbauer, Councilman

FROM: Pl

Philip R. Hager, Planning and Zoning Officer

SUBJECT:

Anne Arundel County Annual Reports; Measures and Indicators

DATE:

August 31, 2017

At the request of the Maryland Department of Planning, I am providing copies of the Annual Measures and Indicators Report for the Calendar Year 2016. The general requirements of this report can be found under Article §1-207 and Article §1-208; Annotated Code of Maryland. The report was reviewed and approved by the Planning Advisory Board on August 23, 2017.

If you have any questions or require more information, please feel free to contact me.

Attachments

cc: Steven R. Schuh, County Executive

Lynn Miller, Assistant Planning and Zoning Officer

Chris Soldano, Assistant Planning and Zoning Officer

Margaret Kaii-Ziegler, Planning Administrator, OPZ Research/GIS Division

Chron. H:\MDP Annual Report\2017

Planning Contact Name: Margaret Kaii-Ziegler. Planning Administrator, OPZ: Research/GIS Division
Planning Contact Phone Number: 410-222-7462
Planning Contact Email: pzkaii46@aacounty.org

Section I: Amendments and Growth Related Changes in Development Patterns

(A) Were any new comprehensive plan or plan elements adopted?

1. If no, go to (B).
2. If yes, briefly summarize what was adopted.

(B) Were there any growth related changes in development patterns?

(Note: Growth related changes in development patterns are changes in land

- 1. If no, go to (C).
- 2. If yes, briefly summarize each growth related change(s).

use, zoning, transportation capacity improvements, new subdivisions, new schools or school additions, or changes to water and sewer service areas.)

Land Use and Zoning

No land use map changes were made in 2016, and zoning map changes are summarized in Part D below. In general, land use patterns continue in accordance with the adopted General Development Plan, and the majority of new development continues to occur within the Priority Funding Area.

Transportation Capacity Improvements

There were approximately 26.2 miles of road improvements made on County-owned roads including 17 (3.09 mi) new roads, 9 (19.00 mi) road –widening projects, 3 (0.83) road extensions, and 1 (3.32) road relocation project.

New Development

In addition to 70 new residential subdivisions/re-subdivisions there were 13 commercial/industrial/mixed use site development plans approved in 2016. There were 11 rezonings that were approved as described in Section D.

Water and Sewer

No amendments to the Master Plan were made in 2016. The County has recently completed a comprehensive review and update of the Master Plan which will be introduced for adoption in Fall 2017. The Draft 2017 Master Plan includes updated

information on land use, zoning, population, and flow projections; on-site disposal systems (OSDS) and strategies for reducing nutrient loads; NPDES limitations, TMDLs, Enhanced Nutrient Removal requirements, and the impact on the County's long range treatment capacity; and financial data and Capital Improvement Program items. The Draft Plan also includes map changes that update service categories, sewer service boundaries, and facilities and infrastructure. Some areas will be shifted from the Future and No Public Service categories to the Planned Service category to allow extension of public utilities where appropriate.

The most significant change in development as related to public water and sewer will be the lifting of the development moratorium on the Mayo Peninsula which has been in place since 2008. Upon completion of the capital project to extend a new sewer main from the Mayo peninsula to the Annapolis WRF, the moratorium will be lifted. While this is not expected to result in changes in development patterns, it will allow for a number of exising infill lots to be developed as well as some additional subdivision potential.

Schools

The following summarizes the new schools or additions within the County's Capital Improvement program in 2016.

				State Rated Capacity				
School Name	Level	Address	ZIP	Existing	Planned	Change	Туре	PFA
Eastport	ES	420 Fifth Street Annapolis	21403	281	339	58	Kindergarten Addition	Yes
Georgetow n East	ES	111 Dogw ood Road Annapolis	21403	537	669	132	Kindergarten Addition	Yes
Meade	HS	1100 Clark Road Fort Meade	20755	2208	2463	255	Addition	Yes
Millersville	ES	1601 Millersville Rd. Millersville	21108	430	430	0	Gym Addition	No
North Glen	ES	615 W Furnace Branch Rd. Glen Burnie	21061	280	368	88	Kindergarten/Gym Addition	Yes
Odenton	ES	1209 Odenton Rd. Odenton	21113	430	678	248	Kindergarten/Classroom Additions	Yes
Rolling Knolls	ES	1985 Valley Road Annapolis	21401	362	613	251	Replacement	No
Severna Park	HS	59 Robinson Road Severna Park	21146	1805	2204	399	Replacement	Yes
West Meade	EEC	7722 Ray Street Fort Meade	20755	292	336	44	Kindergarten Addition	Yes
Woodside	ES	160 Funke Road Glen Burnie	21061	336	424	88	Kindergarten/Gym Addition	Yes

(C)	Were an	y amendments made to the zoning regulations?	Υ⊠	N 🗌
	1.	If no, go to (D).		

2. If yes, briefly summarize any amendments that resulted in changes in development patterns.

Bill#	Effective Date	Summary
107-15	2/25/16	Allowing grocery stores as a permitted us in C2- Commercial Office zoning districts
116-15	2/26/16	Established a BWI Mixed Use Overlay Area with expanded development allowances
20-16	6/5/16	Adopted new Odenton Town Center Master Plan
24-16	7/2/16	Allowing personal fitness studios as a permitted use in certain maritime zoning districts
30-16	7/22/16	Defining package goods stores and allowing as a conditional use in certain mixed use zoning districts
55-16	11/11/16	Established a BWI/Ft Meade Growth Area and allowed Planned Unit Developments in certain commercial zoning districts in the BWI/Ft Meade Growth Area
58-16	10/24/16	Amended the provisions for BRAC Mixed Use Development to allow the density of R15 development to be based on gross density
74-16	12/29/16	Allowing self-storage facilities as a conditional use in certain mixed use zoning districts

(D) V	Vere an	y amendmen	nts made to th	e zoning r	map?	Υ⊠	N
	1.	If no, go to	Section II: Me	apping and	d GIS Shapefiles.		
	2.	If yes, brief	ly summarize	each ame	ndment(s).		
		Four admin	istrative rezo	ning cases	were approved in 201	6 as shown in the t	able
		below.					
		YEAR	CASE	CASE	REZONED REZONI	ED DECISION	

YEAR	CASE	CASE	REZONED	REZONEI	D DECISION
	NO.	TYPE	FROM	ТО	DATE
2016	22	R	W3	C4	04/01/16
2016	53	R	R1	R2	5/23/2016
2016	118	R	R1	R15	02/28/17
2016	331	R	R1	R15	04/05/17

Section II: Mapping and GIS Shapefiles

(A)	Does you	ur jurisdiction utilize GIS to prepare planning related maps?	Y⊠	N _
	1.	If no, include an address, parcel identification number or other means to identify the type and location of all new growth related changes or zoning map amendments listed in <i>Sections I(B)</i> and <i>I(D)</i> . Provide a paper map(s) that indexes the general location(s) of the growth related changes or zoning map amendment(s). <i>Contact MDP for mapping assistance</i> .		

2. If yes, include a map(s) of the location(s) of the amendment(s) and submit applicable GIS shapefiles for all new growth related changes and zoning map amendments listed in *Sections I(B)* and *I(D)*. GIS shapefiles may be uploaded on the online Annual Report Webtool or via email or cd/dvd disk.

See ZoningAmendmentsApprovedCY2016.shp

(B) Were there any growth related changes identified in Sections I(B)?	Y 🖂 N	
1. If no, go to (C).		

 If yes, then include GIS shapefiles and map(s), that identify the location of each growth related change identified in Section I(B). If your jurisdiction does not utilize GIS then clearly identify the growth related changes on a map(s).

For growth related changes, refer to the following shape files:

- NewResidAndCommercialSubdivisins_2016.shp
- NewResidAndCommercialIssuedPermits_CY2016.shp
 School_CY2016.shp

(C) Were there any zon	ning map amendments identified in Section I(D).	Y 🛛 N 🗌
------------------------	---	---------

- 1. If no to (A) and (B), skip to Section III: Consistency of Development Changes.
- If yes, then include GIS shapefiles and map(s), that identify the location of each zoning map amendment identified in Section I(D). If your jurisdiction does not utilize GIS then clearly identify the growth related changes on a map(s). Contact MDP for mapping assistance.

See ZoningAmendmentsApprovedCY2016.shp

Section III: Consistency of Development Changes

(A)	Were there any growth related changes identified in Sections I(B) through (D)?	$Y \boxtimes$	N 🗌
-----	--	---------------	-----

- 1. If no, skip to Section IV: Planning and Development Process.
- 2. If yes, go to (B).
- **(B)** For each growth related change listed in in *Sections I(B)* through (D), state how the development changes were determined to be consistent with:
 - 1. Each other;

Growth related changes including zoning map changes, capital projects, new subdivisions, new public facilities, and water/sewer map amendments are reviewed for consistency with adopted plans. Public facility improvements are consistent in supporting new development that is planned.

2. Any recommendations of the last annual report;

N/A

3. The adopted plans of the local jurisdiction;

The review process for subdivision and development plans and map amendments involves finding consistency with adopted plans of Anne Arundel County such as the General Development Plan; the Land Preservation, Parks and Recreation Plan; the

Water and Sewer Master Plan; Educational Facilities Master Plan, and other functional or strategic plans.

4. The adopted plans of all adjoining jurisdictions;

The adopted plans of adjoining jurisdictions are received and reviewed for consistency with the County's plans and vice versa. All growth related changes in development patterns are consistent with adjoining jurisdictions to the best of our knowledge.

Any adopted plans of the State and local jurisdictions that have responsibility for financing or constructing improvements necessary to implement the jurisdiction's plan.

To the best of our knowledge, all changes in development patterns are consistent with State and local jurisdictions that have responsibility for financing or constructing improvements necessary to implement the County's Plan.

Section IV: Plan Implementation and Development Process (5-Year Report)

(A) Is the adoption date of your co	mprehensive plan prior	to January 1, 2011?	$Y \boxtimes$	N 🗌
-------------------------------------	------------------------	---------------------	---------------	-----

- 1. If no, then skip to (B). Identify adoption month and year:
- 2. If yes, has your jurisdiction submitted a five-year implementation update (5-Year Report)under §1-207(c)(6) of the Land Use Article?
 - a. If yes, skip to (B).
 - b. If no, include a summary of the following:
 - (i). Development trends contained in the previous annual reports filed during the period covered by the narrative;

Development trends continue to show the majority of new growth within the Priority Funding Area both with regard to the number of permits and the number of subdivisions and site plans approved. It is estimated that the remaining development capacity in the County is adequate to serve the forecasted needs. Upzoning of land occurred in the 2011 Comprehensive Zoning that provided some increase in holding capacity. If a need for additional capacity is determined, opportunities for increasing holding capacity will be reviewed during the next General Development Plan update.

(ii). The status of comprehensive plan implementation tools such as comprehensive rezoning to carry out the provisions of the comprehensive plan;

Implementation programs and actions that have been completed since adoption of the 2009 General Development Plan include the following:

- Comprehensive Zoning legislation was adopted in 2011-2012.
- The Priority Funding Area was amended following the 2011 Comprehensive Zoning program to reflect adopted changes.
- Several new mixed use development projects have been completed or are in the development pipeline.
- An updated assessment of development holding capacity was completed.
- A new Existing Land Use geodatabase was developed.
- Partnered with MD DNR to conduct of planning level study of potential land use impacts of sea level rise.
- New business development and financing programs have been established.
- Programs to promote agriculture and local farms have been strengthened and policies to promote agritourism uses are being developed.
- · Commercial Revitalization Overlay Districts were updated.
- A new BWI Area Mixed Use Overlay district was established.
- Three State Sustainable Communities were established in the County.
- State-mandated Growth Tiers were developed and certified that are consistent with adopted Land Use and Water/Sewer Plans.
- A strategic plan to address priority septic system communities is being developed as part of the overall strategy to comply with TMDL and Watershed Implementation Plan requirements.
- Multiple amendments have been adopted to the Subdivision and Development Article and the Zoning Article of the County Code to update various Code provisions on a variety of topics, as described in previous Annual Reports.
- An expedited development and permit review and approval process was established.
- An updated Odenton Town Center Master Plan was adopted and a new Tax Increment Finance district was created.
- Under a public-private partnership with MDOT, a redevelopment proposal for a mixed use Transit Oriented Development at the Odenton MARC Station has been submitted and is under review.
- (iii). Identification of any significant changes to existing programs, zoning ordinances, regulations, financing programs, or State requirements necessary to achieve the visions and goals of the comprehensive plan during the remaining planning timeframe;

At some point during the next five years, the Office of Planning and Zoning plans to conduct a comprehensive review of the Zoning Ordinance with the goal of addressing current trends in development as well as consistency with comprehensive plan goals and policies.

 (iv). Identification of any State or federal laws, regulations, or requirements that have impeded local implementation of the comprehensive plan and recommendations to remove any impediments;

None to our knowledge.

(v). Future land use challenges and issues;

As unimproved land becomes more scarce in the decades to come, the focus of development may need to shift to redevelopment and adaptive reuse of existing development. Planning strategies, code changes, new financing tools and/or implementation methods may need to be developed and available as that shift occurs.

(vi). A summary of any potential updates to the comprehensive plan.

The County is planning to amend the 2009 General Development Plan in the Fall 2017 to adopt the Growth Tiers into the comprehensive plan. The County is also planning to conduct an update to the 2009 General Development Plan within the 2018-2020 timeframe.

(B)		reporting year, did your jurisdiction identify any recommendations for improving and development process within the jurisdiction?
	the planning a	Y N
	1.	If no, go to (C).
	2.	If yes, what were those recommendations?
		Recent changes have included changes in procedures for development review and approval to improve coordination among various divisions and agencies, to help expedite the process, to increase outreach and educational efforts with stakeholder groups, and to improve tracking and record keeping processes.
(C)		reporting year, did your jurisdiction adopt any ordinances or regulations needed the 12 planning visions under §1-201 of the Land Use Article? Y \bowtie N \square
	1. If no	o, go to Section V: Measures and Indicators.
	2.If ye	s, what were those changes?
	The fo	llowing is a summary of ordinances adopted in 2016 that help implement the

State's planning visions (Environmental Protection, Stewardship, Implementation

Strategies, Growth Areas and Economic Development, Quality of Life, Infrastructure, Resource Conservation and Stewardship, and/or Other)

Bill Number	Effective Date(s)	Related State Vision(s)	Summary
105-15	1/22/2016	Implementation Strategies	Subdivision & Development-Adequate Public Facilities – Schools –add provision regarding the test of adequacy of public facilities for schools
106-15	2/6/2016	Implementation Strategies	Subdivision & Development-Age Restricted Development – amend age restricted development provisions allowing 80% occupancy by 55 & older, amend definition of "dwelling unit; adult independent"
108/15	3/4/2016	Implementation Strategies & Growth Areas & housing	Subdv. & Develop. – Adequate Public Facilities – Schools – amend test for additional school capacity – over 95% of State-Rated Capacity listed as closed
110-15	1/28/2016	Implementation Strategies & Growth Areas	Subdivision & Development – Adequate Public Facilities – provide developer option to defer APF testing for sewerage & water supply facilities until final plan or site devel. plan approval, revise conditions for certain approvals, etc
111-15	3/6/2016	Infrastructure	Public Works-Utilities-Allocation of Water & Wastewater Capacity, Charges and Fees for Properties Subject to Adequate Public Facilities & Capital Facility Connection Charges
118-15	3/19/2016	community design	Real Property Taxes- Historic Preservation Tax Credit – establish tax credit, define terms, provide for eligibility, establish procedure for applying for credit, provide for calculation and termination of tax credit
10-16	4/23/2016	Implementation Strategies	Construction Code – Certificate of Occupancy - Fee - eliminating the fee for a certificate of occupancy
17-16	5/16/2016	Implementation Strategies	Subdivision and Development – Public Works Agreements - require a public works agreement to be completed within six months of a plat being recorded
20-16	b/5//016	Implementation Strategies & Growth Areas	The Odenton Growth Management Area and Plan Review – Repeal 2009 OT Master Plan; adopt 2016 OTC Master Plan, etc
22-16	6/5/2016	Housing	Real Property Tax Credits – revise application requirements for certain real property tax credits; remove requirements to apply yearly for deferral for elderly or disabled homeowners, to apply yearly for certain tax credits for property leased by AA Community College, conservation land, high performance dwellings, and stormwater management and erosion control practices; etc
23-16	7/22/2016	Implementation Strategies	Subdivision & Development – Peer Review Program - voluntary peer review program for development plan review that permits third-party review of subdivision or site development plans by reviewers certified by the County; grant other County agencies power to administer the program; etc
43-16	1 //22/2016	Implementation Strategies & Growth Areas	Zoning – Critical Area Growth Allocation – Annapolis Life Care, Inc. (Ginger Cove) Property – change esignation from Limited Development Area to Intensely Development Area; establish requirement & limitations
57-16	1 10/24/2016	Implementation Strategies & Environmental Protection	Subdivision & Development – Forest Conservation – exempt certain stream restoration projects, revise calculation of site values, adjust fee-in-lieu rates, etc.

See Section I.D.2 for Zoning Ordinances

Section V: Measures and Indicators

(Note: The Measures and Indicators Sections (D) – (G) are only required for jurisdictions issuing more than 50 new <u>residential building permits</u> in the reporting year).

(A) In the **Total** column in *Table 1, New Residential Permits Issued (Inside and Outside the PFA)* in (C) below, enter the total number of new <u>residential building permits issued</u> in 2016. Enter 0 if no new residential building permits were issued in 2016.

(Note: For annual reporting purposes, tabulate the amount of new <u>residential building permits issued</u> at time your jurisdiction has granted the ability for a new residential unit to be constructed. It does not mean that the unit has been constructed, will be constructed, or is occupied. If your local definition of building permit varies, please indicate the definition used to tabulate new residential building permits. Reconstruction or replacement permits should be included as new residential permits. Additionally, tracking the amount of reconstruction,

replacement or demolition of residential units in Table 2A may be beneficial when conducting the Development Capacity Analysis in Section VIII.)

- (B) In the PFA column in *Table 1*, enter the total number of permits issued inside the Priority Funding Area (PFA). Enter 0 if no new residential building permits issued inside the PFA in 2016.
- (C) In the Non-PFA column in *Table 1*, enter the total number of permits issued outside the PFA. Enter 0 if no new residential building permits issued outside the PFA in 2016.

Table 1: New Residential Permits Issued (Inside and Outside the PFA)

Residential	PFA	Non - PFA	Total
# New Residential Permits Issued	1862	377	2239

(Note: At a minimum, each jurisdiction should submit the information requested in Table 1: New Residential Permits Issued (Inside and Outside the PFA) as part of their Annual Report. If no residential permits were issued, then indicate 0 in each column.)

(D) If the Total number of new residential permits in Table 1 is less than 50, then Tables 2A and 2B are optional and can be used to locally monitor changes less than 50 permits. Skip to (E) if the Total number of new residential permits in Table 1 is 50 or more.

Table 2A: Amount of Residential Growth (Inside and Outside the PFA)

<u>Residential</u>	PFA	Non - PFA	Total
# Units Approved	1735	637	2372
# Units Constructed	2650	362	3012
# Minor Subdivisions Approved	8	11	19
# Major Subdivisions Approved	42	21	63
Total Approved Subdivision Area (Gross Acres)	422.9	726.7	1149.6
# Lots Approved	1403	512	1915
Total Approved Lot Area (Net Acres)	420.3	686.3	1106.6
# Units Demolished*			
# Units Reconstructed/Replaced*			

^{*}Not required.

Table 2B: Amount of Commercial Growth (Inside and Outside the PFA)

Commercial	PFA	Non - PFA	Total
# Permits Issued	50	16	66
# Lots Approved	23	3	26
Total Building Square Feet Approved (Gross)	2,688,952	194,794	2,883,746
Total Square Feet Constructed (Gross)	197,267	143,295	340,562

(E) Were more than 50 new residential building permits issued in 2016?

 $Y \boxtimes N \square$

- 1. If no, then the remainder of this Section is optional. Skip to Section VI: Locally Funded Agricultural Land Preservation.
 - 2. If yes, then complete *Tables 3 through 5* for Residential Growth and *Tables 6 through 8* for Commercial Growth in (F) and (G) below.

(F) Amount, Net Density and Share of Residential Growth:

(Note: To calculate the amount, net density and share of residential growth, jurisdictions must identify the total number of new residential building permits issued; the total number of new residential units approved; the total number of new

residential lots approved; the total approved gross acreage of new residential subdivisions; and net lot area. A number of values are repeated in Tables 1 through 5. Be sure to enter consistent values for each similar category used in these tables.)

Table 3: Amount of Residential Growth (Inside and Outside the PFA)

Residential	PFA	Non - PFA	Total
# Permits Issued	1862	377	2239
# Units Approved	1735	637	2372
# Units Constructed	2650	362	3012
Total Approved Subdivision Area (Gross Acres)	422.9	726.7	1149.6
# Lots Approved	1403	512	1915

Table 4: Net Density of Residential Growth (Inside and Outside the PFA)

<u>Residential</u>	PFA	Non – PFA	Total
# Units Approved	1735	637	2372
Total Approved Lot Size (Net Acres)	420.3	686.3	1106.6

Table 5: Share of Residential Growth (Inside and Outside the PFA)

Residential	PFA	Non – PFA	Total
# Units Approved	1735	637	2372
% of Total Units (# Units/Total Units)	73%	27%	100%

(G) Amount, Net Density and Share of Commercial Growth:

(Note: To calculate the amount, net density and share of commercial growth, jurisdictions must identify the total number of new commercial permits issued; the total square footage of the commercial building approved; the total number of new commercial lots approved; the total new commercial subdivision area (gross acres); and the total approved subdivision net lot area, in acres for all new commercial subdivisions. The total building square footage (gross) and total lot size values (net acres) should be the same for Tables 6 through 8. For annual report purposes, all approved square footage (gross) should be tabulated, with the understanding that not all building square footage reported may be used for commercial or retail related activities. Commercial growth should include retail, office, hotel, industrial uses and may include other uses, such as, mixed-use, institutional and agricultural structures, if approved for commercial use.)

Table 6: Amount of Commercial Growth (Inside and Outside the PFA)

Commercial	PFA	Non - PFA	Total
# Permits Issued	50	16	66
Total Building Square Feet Approved (Gross)	2,688,952	194,794	2,883,746
# Lots Approved	23	3	26
Total Subdivision Area (Gross Acres)	224	37.71	262.04

Table 7: Net Density of Commercial Growth (Inside and Outside the PFA)

Commercial	PFA	Non - PFA	Total
Total Building Square Feet Approved (Gross)	2,688,952	194,794	2,883,746
Total Lot Size (Net Acres)	54.0	7.3	61.3

Table 8: Share of Commercial Growth (Inside and Outside the PFA)

PFA	Non – PFA	Total
2,688,952	194,794	2,883,746
89%	11%	100%
	2,688,952	2,688,952 194,794

Section VI: Locally Funded Agricultural Land Preservation

(A) How many acres were preserved using <u>local</u> agricultural land preservation funding? Enter 0 if no acres were preserved using local funds.

In calendar year 2016 an additional 134 acres were preserved under the County's Agricultural and Woodland Preservation Program.

Section VII: Local Land Use Percentage Goal

(A) Is all	land within t	ne boundaries of the juris	diction in the PFA?	Y 🗌	$N \boxtimes$
------------	---------------	----------------------------	---------------------	-----	---------------

- 1. If yes, then the local land use percentage goal does not need to be established. Skip to Section VIII: Development Capacity Analysis.
- If no, then the jurisdiction must establish a local percentage goal to achieve the statewide land use goal, under §1-208(2) of the Land Use Article, to increase the current percentage of growth located inside the

PFAs and decrease the percentage of growth (new lots and new residential units) located outside the PFAs. Go to (B).

(B	What is the	jurisdiction's established local land use percentage goal?	80%
----	-------------	--	-----

- (C) What is the timeframe for achieving the local land use percentage goal?

 The local goal has been achieved but may vary slightly on an annual basis.
- (D) Has there been any progress in achieving the local land use percentage goal?

The trend continues that the majority of growth is within the PFA. Land use and development policies established within the County's General Development Plan, Water and Sewer Master Plan, Land Preservation, Parks and Recreation Plan, etc. will promote and facilitate this continued trend in maintaining this goal.

(E) What are the resources necessary for infrastructure inside the PFAs?

Funding is the necessary resource, which is addressed annually through the County's six-year Capital Budget and Improvement Program and State funding sources.

(F) What are the resources necessary for land preservation outside the PFAs?

For implementing land preservation outside of the PFA, the County uses three easement acquisition programs: the Maryland Agricultural Land Preservation Foundation, the County's Agricultural and Woodland Preservation Program, and the Rural Legacy Program. Other mechanisms including land use policies, zoning, marketing, and public outreach are also used.

Section VIII: Development Capacity Analysis (DCA)

(A) Has an updated DCA been submitted with your Annual Report or to MDP within the last three years?

(Note: A DCA is required every 3-years and whenever there is a significant change in zoning or land use pattern. See §1-208(c)(iii) of the Land Use Article. A DCA may be submitted independently from the Annual Report, such as, part of a comprehensive plan update.)

	$Y \boxtimes$	N 🔲
If no, explain why an updated DCA has not been submitted, such as, no		
substantial growth changes, etc.		

1.

2. If yes, then skip to Section IX: Adequate Public Facility Ordinance (APFO) Restrictions.

(Note: MDP provides technical assistance to local governments in completing development capacity analyses. Please contact your MDP regional planner for more information.)

- (B) When was the last DCA submitted? Identify Month and Year:
 - A Development Capacity Analysis was submitted in November 2015, but has since been updated. The most recent estimates are provided in Table 9 below.
- (C) After completing the DCA, provide the following data on capacity inside and outside the PFA in Table 9, Residential Development Capacity (Inside and Outside the PFA):

Table 9: Residential Development Capacity (Inside and Outside the PFA)

Parcels & Lots w/ Residential Capacity	PFA	Non – PFA	Total
Residentially Zoned Acres w/ Capacity	2889	20,138	23,027
Residential Parcel & Lots w/Capacity	3624	5440	9064
Residential Capacity (Units)	8490	7405	15,895

Section IX: Adequate Public Facility Ordinance (APFO) Restrictions (Section IX is only required by jurisdictions with adopted APFOs)

(A) Does your jurisdiction have any adopted APFOs?	Υ⊠	N 🗌
1. If no, skip this Section.		
2. If yes, go to (B).		
(B) Has your jurisdiction submitted a biennial APFO Report under §7-104 of the	Land Use A	rticle?
	Y 🗌	$N \boxtimes$
1. If yes, skip this Section.		

2. If no, then complete (C) through (I) below for each restriction.

(Note: Jurisdictions with adopted APFOs must submit a biennial APFO report when a restriction within the PFA occurs within the reporting period. The APFO report is due by

July 1 of each even year and covers the reporting period for the previous two calendar years, currently 2013 and 2012. APFO reports were due by July 1, 2014. APFO reports for 2014 and 2015 are due July 1, 2016.)

(C)	What	is	the	type	of	infrastructure	affected?	(List	each	for	Schools,	Roads,	Water,	Sewer,
	Storm	wa	ter,	Health	n Ca	are, Fire, Police	or Solid Wa	aste.)						

- (D) Where is each restriction located? (Identify on a map if possible).
- (E) Describe the nature of what is causing each restriction.
- (F) What is the proposed resolution of each restriction (if available)?
- (G) What is the estimated date for the resolution of each restriction (if available)?
- (H) When was each restriction lifted (if applicable)?
- (I) Has your jurisdiction reported the restrictions reported in (C) through (I) above as part of the required biennial APFO annual reporting requirements?

$Y \boxtimes$	N

Section X: Submitting Annual Reports and Technical Assistance

(A) Annual Reports may be submitted via email or hyperlink to david.dahlstrom@maryland.gov (preferred) or one copy may be mailed to:

		Maryl 301 W Baltim	of the Secretary and Department of Planning I. Preston Street, Suite 1101 nore, Maryland 21201-2305 David Dahlstrom, AICP						
(B)	approv filed w	ed the	rts should include a cover letter indicating that the Planning Co e Annual Report and acknowledging that a copy of the Annual Re e local legislative body. The cover letter should indicate a point hnical questions about your Annual Report.	eport ha	s been				
	1.	Was t	this Annual Report approved by the planning commission/board?	YX	N				
	2.	Was t	this Annual Report filed with the local legislative body?	YX	NΠ				
	3.		the cover letter:						
		a	 Acknowledge that the planning commission/board has approved the Annual Report. 	Y 🖂	N 🔲				
		b	Acknowledge that the Annual Report has been filed with the local legislative body?	Υ⊠	N 🗌				
		C.	Answer if all members of the Planning Commission/Board and Boappeals have completed an educational training course as required under under §1-206(a)(2) of the Land Use Article? (See http://planning.maryland.gov/YourPart/MPCA/PCBZACompleted for a list having completed the course.)	Υ□	N 🔀				
		d.	Indicate a point of contact(s)?	Υ⊠	N 🗌				
(C)	(C) You may wish to send an additional copy of your Annual Report directly to your MDP Regional Office via email or hyperlink (preferred) or hardcopy.								
(D)	Planners	s are a	any technical assistance in preparing or submitting your reports available to assist you. Regional Planner contact information cag.maryland.gov/OurWork/local-planning-staff.shtml	our R n be fo	egional und at:				
(E)	Copies of this Annual Report worksheet and links to legislation creating these Annual Report requirements can be found on the Maryland Department of Planning website: http://planning.maryland.gov/YourPart/SGGAnnualReport.shtml								
/E\	If you be		Anna and an analysis of the second se						

- (F) If you have any suggestions to improve this worksheet or any of the annual report materials, please list or contact David Dahlstrom at david.dahlstrom@maryland.gov.



2664 Riva Road, P.O. Box 6675 Annapolis, MD 21401 410-222-7450

Jerry Pesterfield Chair, Planning Advisory Board

August 30, 2017

Mr. Philip R. Hager, Planning & Zoning Officer Anne Arundel County Office of Planning & Zoning 2664 Riva Road, MS 6402 Annapolis, Maryland 21401

> RE: Annual Measures and Indicators Report for the Calendar Year 2016; 5-Year Mid Cycle Report

Dear Mr. Hager:

Section 532 of the Anne Arundel County Charter has established the Planning Advisory Board (PAB). Section 532 (b) establishes that the PAB shall make advisory recommendations to the Planning and Zoning Officer and the County Council relating to various matters including Master Plans. In accordance with the Code of Maryland Regulations § 1-207 of the Land Use Article, the PAB shall prepare, adopt and file an Annual Measures and Indicators Report with the County Council.

On August 23, 2017, the PAB met and received a presentation from staff within the Office of Planning and Zoning on the content of the Annual Measures and Indicators Report for 2016 which also included the required 5-year Mid Cycle Report. During the course of the meeting, the PAB offered time for public comment and no public testimony or written comments were received.

After a discussion with staff, the PAB unanimously voted 4-0 on August 23, 2017 to adopt the Annual Measures and Indicators Report for Calendar Year 2016 and the 5-Year Mid Cycle Report to transmit to the County Council as required by Code of Maryland Regulations § 1-207 of the Land Use Article.

The PAB appreciates the opportunity to provide you with the adopted Annual Measures and Indicators Report for 2016 and the 5-Year Mid Cycle Report. If there are any questions regarding

our comments, please contact either Cindy Carrier or Sharon Faulkner in the Office of Planning and Zoning.

Sincerely,

Jerry L. Pesterfield

Chairman

cc: Lynn Miller, Assistant Planning and Zoning Officer

Members of the Planning Advisory Board

Margaret Kaii-Ziegler, Planning Administrator, OPZ Cindy Carrier, Planning Board Administrator, OPZ