

Full Name	Home Address	City	Zip Code	Representing yourself?	What or whom do you represent?	Legislation	Position?	Remarks	Attachment
William Jeffrey Hill	613 Emerson Place	Severna Park	21146	Yes		Bill 62-20:	Oppose		
							1		
William Jeffrey Hill	613 Emerson Place	Severna Park	21146	Yes		Bill 65-20:	Support	I support Bill 65-20, we need more affordable housing options in the county. this will open up more locations.	
Daniel Sullivan	408 Fawn Haven Ct.	Millersville	21108-1462	Yes		Bill 65-20:	Oppose	Bill 55-19 prohibits discrimination on the basis of source of income defined to include lawful employment but does not require employment to be by an employer located in the county.	
Brian C Machi	401 Wildberry Ct	Millersville	21108	Yes		Bill 65-20:	Oppose	I absolutely oppose Bill 65-20. This legislation will bring overdevelopment, overcrowding, traffic, crime, and it will adversely impact already crowded schools. Do not approve this terrible bill.	
Alan Hyatt	200 Westgate Circle Suite 500	Annapolis	21401	No	Taylor Family Limited Partnership	Bill 65-20:	Support	This bill will allow for Workforce Housing where there is otherwise a requirement for office use in the "Parkside" s/d adjacent to Ft. Meade. MXD-R zoning imposes an unrealistic and unattainable requirement for office use in Parkside. This cures that problem.	
Christy Wilson	214 Oak Ct	Severna Park	21146	Yes		Bill 65-20:	Oppose	I am still angry that zoning changes were made last summer while everyone that testified at the SP Library testified against it. We do not want denser housing nor do we want economic diversity in SP. We want to be an incentive for people to work and achieve so that they can move to SP. We are trying to be the best possible place. We are overbuilt now. All new building seems to pollute our rivers & add to traffic. There is enough damage rebuilding or improving existing properties(although that balances out). There is plenty of affordable housing in Pasadena, Glen Burnie and Arnold and that is not a far commute. Many SP residents commute to DC or Baltimore but invested in SP to get away from the problems of living near poverty- crime, drugs, apathy! We do not need or want politicians ruining our town or our county. Bringing in poor people will not improve their lot, it will only tear down the rest of us. Leave us alone. Totally opposed and would like to see you listen to your constituents instead of deciding what is best for us yourselves- like you did last summer.	
Mary Ann Stanke	444 Retford Drive	Severna Park	21146	Yes		Bill 65-20:	Oppose	NOT in my neighborhood	
William Matthew Love	490 Fairoak Drive	Severna Park	21146	Yes		Bill 65-20:	Oppose	Not in my neighborhood.	
Maureen Whittington	445 Fairtree Drive	Severna Park	21146	Yes		Bill 65-20:	Oppose	I am strongly opposed to Bill 65-20: Zoning - Mixed Use Districts - Workforce Housing. The potential for extra housing, up to 22 units an acre, would be devastating to the schools, traffic would be unbearable, and the environmental impact would be substantial. Please oppose this bill.	
Eric Distin	275 Oak Landing Ct	Severna Park	21146-3142	Yes		Bill 65-20:	Oppose	No place for that in our neighborhood	
Susan Distin	275 Oak Landing Ct	Severna Park	21146-3142	Yes		Bill 65-20:	Oppose	Not in our neighborhood	
Christina Emerick	277 Oak Landing Ct.	Severna Park	21146	Yes		Bill 65-20:	Oppose	Back door introduction of public housing! We say no!	
Tiffany Wedlake	428 Fair Tree Dr	Severna Park	21146	Yes		Bill 65-20:	Oppose	Allowing developers to change nonresidential development to residential development without appropriate zoning reviews (traffic impact, ecological impact, school capacity) put existing communities at risk of harm. This bill profits developers at the expense of harming communities. It should not be passed.	
Christine Thiel	214 Arundel Beach rd	Severna Park	21146	Yes		Bill 65-20:	Oppose	We need to protect our rivers and the bay. Over development and bigger buildings is not the answer. Our classrooms are already over crowded. Please, do not pass this bill.	
Vanessa de Capitani	472 Fairoak dr		21146	Yes		Bill 65-20:	Oppose	Do not build commercial or workforce housing in our neighborhoods. Neighborhoods should be and remain for residential Use only.	
Ben Marchant	280 oak ct	Severna Park	21146	Yes		Bill 65-20:	Oppose		
Brynn Marchant	280 Oak Ct.	Severna Park	21146	Yes		Bill 65-20:	Oppose		
Patricia H. Troy	182 Doncaster Road	Arnold, MD	21012	Yes		Bill 65-20:	Oppose	The limitations imposed currently by Mixed Use Residential are fair and reasonable. To exclude any one type of residential housing from those requirements makes the zoning rules ineffective. This bill disregards the need to prove a mistake in zoning or changing character of surrounding properties to have change to greater density. I chaired the Severna Park Small Area Plan and was an early advocate of Mixed Use zoning. I recognize that much more needs to be taken into account to change zoning than just the desire on someone's part to construct a certain type of housing. Factors such as environmental impact, as well as all types of adequate facilities, must be taken into account. This bill will create a loophole that could potentially change the character of areas zoned Mixed-Use Residential. When the change to Mixed-Use was embraced by communities, this caveat was not attached. To make this change retroactively is a violation of the public trust. My comments would be the same if the exemption was for senior housing or condos for the wealthy. The damage would be the same.	
							17		